

File #:

66-NK-3963

Serial Scope:

1-24

//////////////////// BLUE ORIGINAL //////////////////////

NR 011 NK CODE

PM 04/16/76 NITEL RXG

TO DIRECTOR, FBI *ES*

FROM NEWARK

ATTN: INTD (S.F. PHILLIPS)

SENSTUDY 75

RE BUREAU TELCALL, 4/16/76.

FORMER SA DANIEL J. BRENNAN, 505 BROOKLYN BLVD.,

SEA GIRT, NJ, TELEPHONE (201) 449-5046, CONTACTED 4/16/76

BY ASAC ALFRED E. SMITH PER INSTRUCTIONS IN RETELCALL.

BRENNAN ADVISED WOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT BUREAU LEGAL

COUNSEL DIVISION IF CONTACTED BY COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVES.

E N D...

*copy dest
fms*

[Handwritten initials]

6:30 pm

APPROVED----- SENT----- PER-----

RG
66-3963-24

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 19 1976	
FBI - NEWARK	

NR005 NY CODE

7:38PM NITEL SEPTEMBER 19, 1975 DXM

TO DIRECTOR (62-116395)

FROM NEW YORK (62-15065)

ATTN: INTD - W. O. CREGAR

SENSTUDY 75

RE BUREAU TELETYPE SEPTEMBER 17, 1975, AND NEW YORK TELEPHONE CALL TO NEWARK SEPTEMBER 18, 1975.

PER COORDINATION BY NEW YORK AND NEWARK, ARRANGEMENTS MADE FOR NEW YORK TO HANDLE CONTACTS WITH FORMER SAC JOSEPH H. GAMBLE AND FORMER SA BENJAMIN P. MC MANUS IN NEW JERSEY.

FORMER SAC GAMBLE CONTACTED BY SAC INGRAM AND GAMBLE STATED HE DID NOT THINK HE WOULD BE ABLE TO FURNISH ANY SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION TO COMMITTEE. GAMBLE IS PRESENTLY PRESIDENT, WELLS FARGO SECURITY GUARDS SERVICE, ROUTE TEN, RANDOLPH PARK WEST, RANDOLPH, NEW JERSEY, AND RESIDES AT FOUR CAMFIELD TERRACE, CONVENT STATION, NEW JERSEY.

FORMER SUPERVISOR THOMAS J. CROKE, JR. AND FORMER SA BENJAMIN P. MC MANUS, ADDRESSES SAME AS LISTED IN RETEL, BOTH ALERTED AND BOTH INDICATED STILL IN POOR PHYSICAL CONDITION AND UNDER DOCTOR'S CARE.

FORMER SA JOHN J. DUNLEAVY, SAME ADDRESS AS LISTED IN RETEL, ALSO ALERTED AND EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT HE COULD POSSIBLY BE CALLED UPON TO APPEAR BEFORE THE SENATE SELECT

66-3963-23

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 23 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

filed in NY by P/S from NY for security per NY memo

Schwartz

PAGE TWO

NY 66-15205

COMMITTEE. HE STATED IF CONTACTED BY A MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE
HE WOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE LOCAL DIVISION.

END

PMS FBI HQ

FBI

Date: 9/18/75

008

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via NITEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395) **SJP**
ATTN: INTD, W. O. CREGAR
FROM: SAC, NEWARK (66-3963)
SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

ReBUNITEL 9/17/75.

LEAD FOR NEWARK TO CONTACT BENJAMIN P. MC MANUS
HAS BEEN COORDINATED WITH SAC JAMES O. INGRAM, NYO, AND IT WAS
DECIDED THAT DUE TO MC MANUS' PHYSICAL CONDITION AND THE FACT
HE IS WELL KNOWN TO THE NYO SUPERVISORY STAFF, NEW YORK WOULD
MAKE NECESSARY CONTACT REQUESTED IN REBUNITEL.

COPY NYO VIA MAIL.

*20 minutes
PMS*

1 - NEW YORK

JJS:PMS
(2)

[Signature]

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *5:10* M Per *RG*

66-3963-22

NR063 WA CODE

Adm

945 PM NITEL 9/17/75 MEB

TO ALEXANDRIA	JACKSONVILLE	NEW YORK
ATLANTA	KNOXVILLE	RICHMOND
BOSTON	LOS ANGELES	ST. LOUIS
DETROIT	MEMPHIS	SAN DIEGO
JACKSON	NEWARK	SEATTLE

*66-3963**

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

SENSTUDY 75

REBUTELS MAY 2, 1975, AND SEPTEMBER 4, 1975, TO ALL OFFICES AND BUTELS SEPTEMBER 3, 1975, TO SELECTED OFFICES INFORMING LATTER THAT SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) HAD REQUESTED IDENTITIES OF ALL SUPERVISORS AND COORDINATORS FOR COINTELPROS IN SELECTED OFFICES FOR (1) NEW LEFT AND BLACK EXTREMIST, 1967 THROUGH 1971, AND (2) FOR WHITE HATE, 1964 THROUGH 1971.

SSC ALSO REQUESTED LOCATIONS OF PERSONS NAMED IN FIELD RESPONSES TO REFERENCED SEPTEMBER 3, 1975, TELETYPE, AND LATEST INFORMATION IN FBIHQ FILES HAS BEEN FURNISHED TO SSC.

1379 J. J. Jones

*SAC [Signature]
ASAC Smith [Signature]*

DK

66-3963-21

SEARCHED <i>[initials]</i>	INDEXED <i>[initials]</i>
SERIALIZED <i>[initials]</i>	FILED <i>[initials]</i>
SEP 17 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	
<i>[Signature]</i>	

PAGE TWO

SSC STAFF MAY CONTACT CURRENT AND/OR FORMER EMPLOYEES NAMED, TO INTERVIEW THEM CONCERNING THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF COINTELPROS IN WHICH THEY HAD SUPERVISORY OR COORDINATING RESPONSIBILITIES.

EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FORMER EMPLOYEES EXCEPT MESSRS. CROKE AND MCMANUS IS TO BE CONTACTED IMMEDIATELY AND ALERTED THAT HE MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY THE SSC STAFF FOR INTERVIEW. THE FORMER EMPLOYEE MAY, AFTER BEING CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF, CONTACT BUREAU'S LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FULL INFORMATION TO ASSIST HIM INCLUDING OBLIGATIONS AS TO CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION ACQUIRED AS FBI EMPLOYEE. IT IS EMPHASIZED THAT BUREAU'S OFFER OF ASSISTANCE IS NOT INTENDED TO IMPEDE SSC WORK, BUT IS DONE AS COOPERATIVE GESTURE AND TO SAFEGUARD SENSITIVE BUREAU INFORMATION.

CONTACTS WITH THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES TO BE HANDLED PERSONALLY BY SAC OR ASAC. IN EVENT THIS IS NOT FEASIBLE FOR JUST CAUSE, TO BE HANDLED BY A SENIOR SUPERVISOR.

REGARDING FORMER SAS CROKE AND MCMANUS, SSC HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THEIR POOR PHYSICAL CONDITION AND REQUESTED TO TAKE THIS INTO CONSIDERATION IN ANY ACTION CONTEMPLATED BY SSC CONCERNING THEM. WE DO NOT, HOWEVER, KNOW THAT SSC WILL

PAGE THREE

NOT CONTACT THEM. NEW YORK OFFICE, IN COORDINATION WITH NEWARK SHOULD ARRANGE TO HAVE CONTACT MADE WITH CROKE AND MCMANUS BY A FORMER ASSOCIATE TO MAKE FRIENDLY INQUIRY AS TO THEIR CURRENT CONDITION. IT IS BEING LEFT TO DISCRETION OF SAS NEW YORK AND NEWARK, BASED ON RESULTS OF SUCH INQUIRY, WHETHER CROKE AND MCMANUS SHOULD BE INFORMED REGARDING POSSIBLE CONTACT OF THEM BY SSC. FBIHQ DOES NOT DESIRE THAT THEY BE UNDULY ALARMED, BUT WOULD NOT WANT THEM SURPRISED BY CONTACT OF SSC STAFF IF THIS COULD IMPAIR THEIR HEALTH.

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONTACT, RESULTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED BUREAU BY NITEL IN ABOVE CAPTION, ATTENTION INTD, W. O. CREGAR, BRIEFLY INCLUDING REACTION OF FORMER EMPLOYEES CONTACTED. IF A FORMER EMPLOYEE NO LONGER IN YOUR TERRITORY OR TEMPORARILY AWAY, SET OUT LEAD TO OTHER OFFICE IMMEDIATELY WITH COPY TO FBIHQ.

ALEXANDRIA:

SETH F. EIKENBERRY, 5367 SUMMIT DRIVE, FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

JESSE C. HALL, JR., 4535 EATEN PLACE, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

ATLANTA:

CARL E. CLAIBORNE, 1866 MARY LOU LANE, S.E., ATLANTA,

PAGE FOUR

GEORGIA

RICHARD H. DAVIS, 1147 WILD CREEK TRAIL, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

CHARLES S. HARDING, 2243 PINECLIFF DRIVE, N. E., ATLANTA,

GEORGIA

BOSTON:

RICHARD H. BLASSER, 129 ACADEMY AVENUE, WEYMOUTH,

MASSACHUSETTS

FREDERICK M. CONNORS, 15 LONGFELLOW ROAD, MELROSE,

MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL J. MCDONAGH, 28 SPRINGVALE ROAD, NORWOOD,

MASSACHUSETTS

JOHN F. NOONAN, 122 VERNON ROAD, SCITUATE, MASSACHUSETTS

DETROIT:

ROBERT F. O'NEILL, 2551 IROQUOIS, DETROIT, MICHIGAN

JACKSON:

ROY K. MOORE, 107 SWALLOW DRIVE, BRANDON, MISSISSIPPI

JACKSONVILLE:

W. HERSHEL CAVER, 3714 NORTHWEST 40TH STREET, GAINESVILLE,

FLORIDA

KNOXVILLE:

PAGE FIVE

IRVING R. ANDERSON, 1029 PERCH DRIVE, CONCORD, TENNESSEE

LOS ANGELES:

JOHN KEARNEY, 4140 MAYFIELD STREET, NEWBURY PARK,

CALIFORNIA

RICHARD J. STILLING, 11648 AMESTOY STREET, GRANADA HILLS,

CALIFORNIA

JOHN S. TEMPLE, 2145 GRENADIER, SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA

MEMPHIS:

PHILIP S. ENDRES, 22 SOUTH SECOND STREET, MEMPHIS,

TENNESSEE

NEWARK:

BENJAMIN P. MCMANUS, 25 MICHAEL STREET, FORDS, NEW JERSEY

NEW YORK:

THOMAS J. CROKE, JR, 15 HOFSTRA DRIVE, GREENLAWN, NEW YORK

JOHN J. DUNLEAVY, 10 SOUTHVIEW CT., CARLE PLACE, NEW YORK

JOSEPH H. GAMBLE, 24 GREYSTONE ROAD, ROCKVILLE CENTRE,

NEW YORK

RICHMOND:

CHARLES F. HEINER, 25 TWIN LAKE LANE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

RANDOLPH E. TROW, 1702 RANCH DRIVE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

PAGE SIX

JOHN H. WAGNER, 8220 BARNINGHAM ROAD, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

SAINT LOUIS:

JOHN J. BUCKLEY, 9469 HARALD DRIVE, WOODSON TERRACE,

MISSOURI

EDMUND C. WELTON, 825 DEANDELL COURT, FERGUSON, MISSOURI

SAN DIEGO:

ROBERT S. BAKER, 4268 HORTENSIA, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SEATTLE:

LEROY W. SHEETS, 5725 72ND STREET, N. E., MARYSVILLE,

WASHINGTON

END

NR033 WA CODE

5:10 PM 9/4/75 NITEL AJN

TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

SENSTUDY 75

66-3963*
5/75

REBUTEL MAY 2, 1975.

PURPOSES OF INSTANT TELETYPE ARE TO (1) REITERATE THAT FBI HAS PLEDGED FULL COOPERATION WITH THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) AND WISHES TO ASSIST AND FACILITATE ANY INVESTIGATIONS UNDERTAKEN BY THE SSC WITH RESPECT TO THE FBI; AND (2) SET FORTH NEW PROCEDURE RELATING TO SSC STAFF INTERVIEWS OF CURRENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES.

FOR INFORMATION OF THOSE OFFICES WHICH HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY HAD CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEES IN ITS TERRITORY INTERVIEWED BY THE SSC, THE BUREAU FREQUENTLY LEARNS FROM THE SSC OR OTHERWISE THAT FORMER EMPLOYEES ARE BEING CONSIDERED FOR INTERVIEW BY THE SSC STAFF. INSTRUCTIONS ARE ISSUED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE TO CONTACT THE FORMER EMPLOYEE TO ALERT HIM AS TO POSSIBLE INTERVIEW, REMIND HIM OF HIS CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT WITH THE BUREAU AND SUGGEST THAT IF HE IS CONTACTED FOR

All Supervisors
advised 9/16/75
[Signature]

al
Pls bring this
up at Supers
conference
[Signature]

66-3963-20

SEARCHED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INDEXED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SERIALIZED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FILED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SEP 4 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	
[Signature]	[Signature]

[Signature]

PAGE TWO

INTERVIEW, HE MAY CONTACT THE LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FURTHER INFORMATION. IN THE USUAL CASE, AS CIRCUMSTANCES UNFOLD, THE FORMER EMPLOYEE IS TOLD (1) THAT HE HAS A RIGHT TO LEGAL COUNSEL, BUT THAT THE BUREAU CANNOT PROVIDE SAME; (2) THAT THE BUREAU HAS WAIVED THE CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT FOR THE INTERVIEW WITHIN SPECIFIED PARAMETERS; AND (3) THAT THERE ARE FOUR PRIVILEGED AREAS IN WHICH HE IS NOT REQUIRED TO ANSWER QUESTION. THESE AREAS ARE RELATING TO INFORMATION WHICH MAY (A) IDENTIFY BUREAU SOURCES; (B) REVEAL SENSITIVE METHODS/TECHNIQUES; (C) REVEAL IDENTITIES OF THIRD AGENCIES, INCLUDING FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES, OR INFORMATION FROM SUCH AGENCIES; AND (D) ADVERSELY AFFECT ONGOING BUREAU INVESTIGATIONS.

HERETOFORE, BUREAU HAS OFFERED INTERVIEWEES CONSULTATION PRIVILEGES WHEREBY A BUREAU SUPERVISOR WOULD BE AVAILABLE NEARBY, ALTHOUGH NOT ACTUALLY AT INTERVIEW, SO INTERVIEWEE MIGHT CONSULT WITH HIM SHOULD QUESTIONS ARISE AS TO PARAMETERS OF INTERVIEW OR PRIVILEGED AREAS. THE CONSULTANT DID NOT ACT AS A LEGAL ADVISOR.

EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, BUREAU WILL NO LONGER PROVIDE

PAGE THREE

ON-THE-SCENE PERSONNEL FOR CONSULTATION PURPOSES TO ASSIST
EITHER CURRENT OF FORMER EMPLOYEES. PROSPECTIVE INTERVIEWEES
SHOULD BE TOLD THAT, IF THEY DESIRE ASSISTANCE OF THIS NATURE
DURING AN INTERVIEW, THEY MAY CONTACT EITHER PERSONALLY (IF
INTERVIEW IS IN WASHINGTON, D. C.) OR BY COLLECT CALL, THE
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, MR. W. R.
WANNALL, OR, IN HIS ABSENCE, SECTION CHIEF W. O. CREGAR.

THIS CHANGE IN PROCEDURE SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS
LESSENING THE ASSISTANCE WE ARE FURNISHING TO CURRENT AND
FORMER EMPLOYEES.

FOR YOUR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, I AM WORKING WITH THE
DEPARTMENT IN EXPLORING AVENUES TO ARRANGE LEGAL REPRESENTATION,
WHEN NECESSARY, FOR CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES WITHOUT
EXPENSE TO THEM. YOU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF DEVELOPMENTS
IN THIS REGARD.

END

NK FBI CLR FOR ONE RXG

FBI

Date: 6/25/75

016

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via NITEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395) **MRF**
FROM: SAC, NEWARK (66-3971)
SENSTUDY, 75

RE NKTELETYPE 6/5/75 CAPTIONED AS ABOVE AND TELCALL, BUREAU SUPERVISOR SEYMOUR PHILLIPS TO ASAC JOHN J. SCHWARTZ, 6/25/75, IN RESPECT TO FBIHQ'S INQUIRY FOR A MEMORANDUM FROM FORMER SA LEO T. CLARK TO SAC OR ASAC, NEWARK, DURING JULY AND AUGUST, 1964, WHICH ALLEGEDLY WAS CONTAINED IN NK FILE 62-109276.

NEWARK DETERMINED THAT FILE NO. 62-109276 IS THE BUREAU FILE NUMBER PERTAINING TO PROTECTION OF THE PRESIDENT. FURTHER EFFORT TO LOCATE FORMER SA CLARK'S MEMORANDUM IN NEWARK FILE 157-1602 PERTAINING TO RACIAL DEMONSTRATIONS, DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, N. J., 8/24-27/64; (RM); WERE NEGATIVE.

JJS:PMS
(1)

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature

~~66-3971-15~~

Approved: *PJM*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 709 M Per RG

F B I

Date: 6/5/75

Transmit the following in _____ CODE _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE IMMEDIATE
(Priority)

011

TO: DIRECTOR (62-116395) *— mww*
ATT: W. O. CREGAR, INTD

FROM: NEWARK (62-3971)

SENSTUDY 75

RE TELCON BUREAU SUPERVISOR ROBERT SHEA AND ASAC HERBERT D. CLOUGH, JR., JUNE 5, 1975.

THOROUGH REVIEW OF FILES CAPTIONED "DISRUPTION OF DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION; INFORMATION CONCERNING"; "SPECIAL SQUAD AT DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, N. J., AUGUST 22-28, 1964"; "DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION, AUGUST 24-28, 1964" AND JUNE FILES DOES NOT REVEAL ANY MEMORANDA, LETTERS OR OTHER TYPE COMMUNICATIONS PREPARED BY FORMER SPECIAL AGENT, LEO T. CLARK.

Copy direct Jones

SAC [Signature]

66-3963-18
~~66-3971-14~~
SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____ FILED _____
JUN 9 1975
FBI - NEWARK

HDC:RAC
(1)

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

F B I

Date: 6/5/75

005

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

CODE

Via TELETYPE _____
(Priority)

IMMEDIATE

(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR (62-116395) — MWW

FROM NEWARK (66-3971)

SENSTUDY 75

RE BUTEL MAY 28, 1975.

FORMER AND PRESENT EMPLOYEES LISTED IN RE BUTEL HAVE BEEN CONTACTED AND ALERTED TO POSSIBILITY OF INTERVIEWS BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS. FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS SET OUT IN BUTEL MADE KNOWN TO THESE EMPLOYEES, NONE OF WHOM HAS BEEN CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF AS YET.

BUREAU WILL BE ADVISED SHOULD THEY CONTACT THIS OFFICE RE SUCH SSC CONTACT.

copy sent from SAC [signature]

HDC/mm
(1)

66-3963-17
~~66-3971-13~~

SEARCHED _____	INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____	FILED _____
JUN 5 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

6/5 PM [signature]

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent WA-12 M Per [signature]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEWARK (66-3971)

FROM : ASAC HERBERT D. CLOUGH, JR.

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

DATE: 6/5/75

At 1:55 p.m. this date, Bureau Supervisor ROBERT SHEA requested a search of our indices as well as June indices to locate any memo or letter prepared by former SRA LEO T. CLARK at Atlantic City under the following captions:

- (1) "DISRUPTION AT DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION - INFORMATION CONCERNING"
- (2) "SPECIAL SQUAD AT DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. AUGUST 22 - 28, 1964"
- (3) "DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION AUGUST 24 - 28, 1964"

The results are to be sent expeditiously to the Bureau under the above caption to the attention of WILLIAM O. CREGAR, INTD, including verbatim account of any memoranda or letters located and a follow-up airtel 6/6/75 with attached xerox copies of pertinent memoranda or letters located.

*Done 3:30 PM
6/5/75 HD*

Invd. Teletype sent.

*FD 16
attached re
above series. H*

~~66-3971-12~~

SEARCHED _____	INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____	FILED _____
JUN 5 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

HDC/mm
(3)



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

66-3963-16

TO: CHIEF CLERK
 Subject
 Aliases
 Address
 Birth Date
 Birthplace
 Race
 Sex
 Male
 Female

Exact Spelling
 All References
 Main Subversive Case Files Only
 Subversive References Only
 Main Criminal Case Files Only
 Criminal References Only
 Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)
 Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)
 Restrict to Locality of

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
66-3963	white ✓		reviewed - no Clark memo in letter 6/5/75
157-1602	red ✓		reviewed - no Clark memo in letter 6/5/75
100-48044	Green ✓		"
62-3138	red ✓		"
100-56835	Green ✓		"
137-0-53	all serials ✓		reviewed + verified 6/5/75 - the ones in the file have been destroyed

Requested by
 Searched by
 Consolidated by
 Reviewed by
 Squad
 Extension
 File No.

File Review Symbols
 I - Identical
 NI - Not identical
 ? - Not identifiable
 U - Unavailable reference
 ☆G.P.O. 1972-471-961

NR058 WA CODE

10:18PM NITEL 5/28"-5 PLD

TO ALEXANDRIA

ATLANTA

BALTIMORE

CHARLOTTE

CHICAGO

KANSAS CITY

LITTLE ROCK

NEWARK 66-3971* (5-75)

OMAHA

PHILADELPHIA

PORTLAND

PHOENIX

TAMPA

WASHINGTON FIELD

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

SENSTUDY 75

REBUTEL MAY 2, 1975.

INQUIRIES MADE OF BUREAU BY SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) CONCERNING A NUMBER OF PRESENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES, INCLUDING THEIR CURRENT WHEREABOUTS, SUGGESTS THEY MAY BE INTERVIEWED BY SSC STAFF, EXACT SUBJECT MATTERS FOR INTERVIEWS UNKNOWN. SET OUT BELOW ARE NAMES AND LAST KNOWN ADDRESSES OF FORMER BUREAU EMPLOYEES AND OFFICE OF ASSIGNMENT OF INCUMBENTS, ALL OF WHOM SSC HAS INQUIRED ABOUT.

EACH OF THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES IS TO BE IMMEDIATELY CON-

Delan!
Index names B 3+4

Rec'd
1cc direct
Prise
Handled
5/29/75

66-3563-15
66-3971-11
Along
Baley B

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 28 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

PAGE TWO

TACTED AND ALERTED THAT HE (SHE) MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY THE SSC STAFF, SUBJECT MATTER UNKNOWN. THEY SHOULD BE TOLD THAT IN THE EVENT THEY ARE INTERVIEWED AND DURING THE COURSE OF SAME, QUESTIONS ARE ASKED WHICH RELATE TO SENSITIVE BUREAU OPERATIONS (SOURCES, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, THIRD AGENCY RULE, AND ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS), THEY MAY REQUEST THAT AN FBI AGENT BE PRESENT. BUREAU WILL PROVIDE AGENT ON REQUEST OF INTERVIEWEE. AS A PRELUDE TO INTERVIEW, THE FORMER EMPLOYEE MAY, AFTER BEING CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF, CONTACT BUREAU'S LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FULL INFORMATION TO ASSIST HIM, INCLUDING OBLIGATIONS AS TO CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION ACQUIRED AS FBI EMPLOYEE. IT IS EMPHASIZED THAT BUREAU'S OFFER OF ASSISTANCE IS NOT INTENDED TO IMPEDE SSC WORK BUT IS DONE AS COOPERATIVE GESTURE AND TO SAFEGUARD SENSITIVE BUREAU INFORMATION. CONTACTS WITH THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES TO BE HANDLED PERSONALLY BY SAC OR ASAC. IN EVENT THIS NOT FEASIBLE FOR JUST CAUSE, TO BE HANDLED BY A SENIOR SUPERVISOR.

INCUMBENT EMPLOYEES TO BE ADVISED THAT IF CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF FOR INTERVIEW, LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION TO BE IMMEDIATELY

2

PAGE THREE

NOTIFIED THROUGH SAC.

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONTACT, RESULTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED BUREAU BY TELETYPE IN ABOVE CAPTION. IF A FORMER EMPLOYEE NO LONGER IN YOUR TERRITORY OR TEMPORARILY AWAY, SET OUT LEAD TO OTHER OFFICE IMMEDIATELY WITH COPY TO FBI HEADQUARTERS.

ALEXANDRIA: COURTLAND J. JONES; 6607 N. 29TH STREET, ARLINGTON, VA.; ROBERT G. KUNKEL, SAC; BERNARD A. WELLS, 5311 MONTGOMERY STREET, SPRINGFIELD, VA.

ATLANTA: ALDEN F. MILLER

BALTIMORE: ERNEST H. BELTER, 616 EDNOR ROAD, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND; STERLING B. DONAHOE, 2813 SPIRAL LANE, BOWIE, MARYLAND; ROBERT H. HAYNES, 205 NORTHMOOR DRIVE, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

CHARLOTTE: JOSEPH A. SIZOO, 84A PINE CRESCENT, WHISPERING PINES, NORTH CAROLINA

CHICAGO: OLGA CIESA, 10409 S. INDIANA AVENUE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

KANSAS CITY: BILL D. WILLIAMS, SAC

LITTLE ROCK: JOHN J. CREAMER, JR., ASAC

NEWARK: JOHN J. CONNOLLY; RITA AGNES AMBROSIO,

1604 JOHN STREET, FORT LEE, NEW JERSEY; RALPH W. BACHMAN,

done 5/29/75
phone - 944-8889
done 5/29/75

3

PAGE FOUR

610 NORWOOD DRIVE, WESTFIELD, NEW JERSEY; KARL L. BROUSE,
5 BARRINGTON GORGE, WESTFIELD, NEW JERSEY.

OMAHA: ROBERT L. TAGG

PHILADELPHIA: JOHN B. MEADE

PORTLAND: LEO B. APP, JR. EDGAR O. INGALLS

PHOENIX: MILDRED E. RISK, 11830 113TH DRIVE, YOUNGTOWN,
ARIZONA

TAMPA: MICHAEL J. ROZAMUS, 6509 GULF DRIVE, HOLMES BEACH,
FLORIDA

WFO: JAMES J. GAFFNEY; ELMER L. TODD

COPY TO ROME, WITH ITS EMPLOYEES NAMED, BY MAIL.

END

NK FBI CLR RXG

*Done
5/28/75*

4

NR096 WA CODE

11:10PM URGENT 5-16-75 PLB

TO NEWARK 66-3971*

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

SENSTUDY 75

REBUTEL MAY 2, 1975.

SECRETARIES MARY ANN MASSA AND M. ANN MIERZEJEWSKI OF YOUR OFFICE HAVE BEEN REQUESTED TO APPEAR FOR INTERVIEWS UNDER OATH BY THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) AT 2 P.M., TUESDAY, MAY 20, REPEAT 20, 1975. YOU ARE TO ADVISE BOTH I AM WAIVING THEIR EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS ONLY TO THE EXTENT OF PERMITTING THEIR DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION RELATING TO DUTIES PERFORMED BY THEM JULY - SEPTEMBER, 1964, IN CONNECTION WITH FBI WORK AT DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY.

EMPLOYEES ARE TO REPORT TO J. B. HOTIS OR P. V. DALY OF LEGAL COUNSEL'S OFFICE, ROOM 4513, JUSTICE BUILDING, BETWEEN 11 A.M. AND NOON MAY 20, FOR CONSULTATION PRIOR TO 2 P.M. APPEARANCES. THEY MAY PLAN TO RETURN TO NEWARK SAME DAY. FBI REPRESENTATIVE WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR CONSULTATION DURING INTERVIEWS BUT WILL NOT BE PRESENT DURING INTERVIEWS.

2541

66-3963-13

~~66-3971-9~~

Real Copies in personnel files
Back Secretaries advised reservations made WRP

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 16 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

SAC PF

PAGE TWO

ISSUE GTR'S FOR TRANSPORTATION AND TRAVEL VOUCHERS FOR
EXPENSES.

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS MATTER TO LEGAL
COUNSEL'S OFFICE.

END

HOLD

N

F B I

Date: 5/12/75

006

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR (62-116395) *5-27 PLD*
FROM: NEWARK (66-3971)
SENSTUDY 75

RE NKTTEL CALL TO BUREAU TODAY.

FORMER SA JOHN PATRICK DEVLIN CONTACTED THE NEWARK OFFICE MAY 12, 1975, AND ADVISED ~~THAT~~ HE WAS REQUESTED TO APPEAR BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE AT 2:00 P. M., IN WASHINGTON, D. C., ON MONDAY, MAY 19, TO BE INTERVIEWED FOR THE RECORD. AS THE BUREAU IS AWARE, DEVLIN HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY INTERVIEWED REGARDING HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THE TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED DURING 1964 DEMOCRATIC PARTY NATIONAL NOMINATING CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, N. J.

DEVLIN ADVISED THAT HE WOULD LIKE SOMEONE FROM THE BUREAU TO ACCOMPANY HIM TO THE INTERVIEW BY THE SENATE COMMITTEE STAFF. BUREAU IS REQUESTED TO ADVISE NEWARK, FOR TRANSMITTAL TO DEVLIN, INFORMATION CONCERNING WHOM HE SHOULD CONTACT AT BUREAU CONCERNING THIS REQUEST.

PJM:PMS
(1)

yellow last time

66-3563-12

66-3971-8

[Signature]
Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *5-27 P* M Per *[Signature]*

F B I

Date: 4/30/75

005

Transmit the following in _____ CODE _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE IMMEDIATE
(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR — JRM
FROM NEWARK (66-3963)
ATTN: INSPECTOR A. J. CONLEY, INSPECTION DIVISION
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY; 1964 DEMOCRATIC PARTY NOMINATING
CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, NJ - JUNE

FOLLOWING IS VERBATUM TEXT OF MEMO FROM FORMER SA JOHN P. DEVLIN DATED APRIL 30, 1975:

ON FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1975, I MET WITH A MICHAEL T. EPSTEIN OF THE US SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, WHO WAS SEEKING INFORMATION REGARDING MY PART IN A TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE IN ATLANTIC CITY, NJ, IN THE SUMMER OF 1964, DURING THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY NOMINATING CONVENTION ON THE ROOMS OCCUPIED BY THE LATE MARTIN LUTHER KING AT THE CLARIDGE HOTEL. HE STATED HIS COMMITTEE HAS A BROAD MANDATE TO LOOK INTO THE INTELLIGENCE INVESTGATION ACTIVITIES OF THE FBI.

IN HIS QUESTIONS, HE COVERED THE FOLLOWING POINTS:

WHO TOLD ME TO GO TO ATLANTIC CITY?

WHERE DID THEY GET THEIR INSTRUCTIONS?

HDC/rc
(1)

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

~~66-3971-7~~

all copies filed

~~66-3963-5~~

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent WA-11:52 AM _____ M

Per *[Signature]* 66-3963-1A

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO NK 66-3963

WHAT WAS I TOLD TO DO WHEN I GOT TO ATLANTIC CITY?
 WAS I TOLD WHY I WAS GOING THERE, I.E., THE PURPOSE
 OR AIM OF THIS PARTICULAR INVESTIGATION?

WHO GAVE FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS IN ATLANTIC CITY?

WHO DID I REPORT TO IN ATLANTIC CITY?

WHAT DID I DO THERE?

WHAT DID I DO WITH ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM MY
 EFFORTS?

WHO DID I REMEMBER AS BEING IN CONTACT WITH KING?

WHO DID I REMEMBER BEING IN KING'S HOTEL SUITE?

WHAT DID I HEAR ABOUT ANY PLANS FOR A VICE-PRESIDENTIAL
 NOMINEE?

DID I EVER MEET OR TALK TO CARTHA DE LOACH?

DID I EVER DICTATE ANY MEMORANDA REGARDING THIS
 SURVEILLANCE TO A STENOGRAPHER IN ATLANTIC CITY?

DID I KNOW OF ANY OTHER TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCES IN
 ATLANTIC CITY AT THAT TIME?

MY RECOLLECTION OF DETAILS OF THIS ASSIGNMENT, WITHOUT
 BENEFIT OF ANY MEMORANDA OR FILES TO REVIEW, IS NECESSARILY

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE THREE NK 66-3963

SKETCHY, AND I TOLD THIS TO MR. EPSTEIN. AS I RECALLED THE BROAD OVERALL SITUATION, I TOLD HIM THAT I WORKED WITH JOHN J. CONNOLLY, JR., ON OUR PARTICULAR ASSIGNMENT, AND WE PROBABLY RECEIVED OUR INSTRUCTIONS FROM SAC BACHMAN THROUGH OUR SUPERVISOR WILLIAM GAGNON. I HAD TO ASSUME THAT MR. BACHMAN RECEIVED THESE INSTRUCTIONS FROM SOMEBODY AT BUREAU HEADQUARTERS.

OUR PURPOSE IN GOING TO ATLANTIC CITY WAS TO OBTAIN WHATEVER INFORMATION WE COULD ABOUT PLANNED DISRUPTIVE TACTICS OR DEMONSTRATIONS THAT WOULD THREATEN THE SAFETY OF PRESIDENT JOHNSON OR THE SECURITY OF THE CONVENTION.

SINCE I WAS THE SOUND MAN FOR THE NEWARK OFFICE, MY EFFORTS IN THIS REGARD WERE CONCENTRATED ON A TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE AS OPPOSED TO ANY PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE OR OTHER INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITY CONDUCTED BY OTHER AGENTS FROM THE NEWARK OFFICE IN ATLANTIC CITY AT THAT TIME.

MARTIN LUTHER KING WAS SELECTED AS THE TARGET OF OUR SURVEILLANCE. BY WHOM, I DO NOT RECALL. HE WAS THE LEADER OF THE GROUP OPPOSING PRESIDENT JOHNSON, SO I SUPPOSE HE WAS A LIKELY TARGET.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FOUR NK 66-3963

MR. EPSTEIN WAS INTERESTED IN MY OPINION AS TO THE LEGITAMACY OF OUR INVESTIGATION AS A SECURITY MEASURE. I WAS UNABLE TO IMPUGN ANY OTHER MOTIVES TO THIS INVESTIGATION OTHER THAN AS SET OUT ABOVE.

I DO NOT RECALL DETAILS OF OUR HANDLING OF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED OVER THE TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE. SA BILLIE D. WILLIAMS WAS LIAISON WITH CARTHA DE LOACH AND ARRANGED OR HANDLED ANY CONTACTS REGARDING THE INFORMATION OR INSTRUCTIONS WE RECEIVED. AGAIN, I WAS UNABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY CONTACTS OR ASSOCIATES OF KING WITHOUT REFERENCE TO WHATEVER NOTES WE MAY HAVE KEPT ON THE SURVEILLANCE. IN RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY AND ROBERT KENNEDY WERE IN TOUCH WITH OR VISITED KING IN HIS SUITE, I HAD TO TELL MR. EPSTEIN THAT THEY MAY HAVE BEEN, BUT I COULD NOT STATE POSITIVELY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AS THERE WERE MAY POLITICIANS OF THE DAY IN CONTACT WITH HIM.

I RECALLED, IN ANSWER TO HIS QUESTIONS, THAT KING'S WIFE, CORETTA, WAS IN TOUCH WITH HIM REGARDING HER PLANS TO TRAVEL TO THE CONVENTION AND ALSO THAT HE WAS IN CONTACT WITH A NOTED

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FIVE NK 66-3963

ENTERTAINER, MEHALIA JACKSON, WHO WAS APPEARING AT SOME CLUB IN ATLANTIC CITY AT THAT TIME, AND WHO WAS APPARENTLY AN OLD FRIEND OF KING'S.

AS TO THE QUESTION OF WHAT INFORMATION WAS TRANSMITTED TO DE LOACH, I SUPPOSE WE SENT EVERYTHING THAT WOULD INDICATE ANY ACTION ON THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION. I AM VAGUE ON THE DETAILS OF HOW WE HANDLED THE TRANSCRIPTION AND REPORTING OF INFORMATION WE RECEIVED. WE MAY HAVE WRITTEN LONGHAND SUMMARIES OR DICTATED VIA TELEPHONE TO A STENOGRAPHER.

I WAS AWARE OF ANOTHER INSTALLATION, EITHER ATTEMPTED OR OPERATED AT A STORE FRONT OPERATION OF SOME ORGANIZATION THAT THREATENED DISRUPTION OF THE CONVENTION, BUT I HAD NO DETAILS CONCERNING IT.

MR. EPSTEIN WAS UNABLE TO ADVISE ME AS TO WHETHER OR NOT I WOULD BE CONTACTED AGAIN REGARDING THIS MATTER.

END

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 5/5/75

004

Transmit the following in _____

CODE

(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

URGENT

Via _____

(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR (62-116395) *MEB*

FROM NEWARK

SENSTUDY 75

RE BUTELCALL OF SUPV. SEYMOUR PHILLIPS TO SAC, NEWARK, MAY 5, 1975.

FORMER SA JOHN PATRICK DEVLIN WAS RECONTACTED BY ASAC, NEWARK, AND FURNISHED FOLLOWING INFO ON MAY 5, 1975:

HE WAS ORIGINALLY CONTACTED BY THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) STAFF MEMBER MICHAEL T. EPSTEIN TELEPHONICALLY AT WORK (HERITAGE BANK - IRON, MORRISTOWN, N.J.) A DAY OR TWO PRIOR TO HIS INTERVIEW ON APRIL 25, 1975. MR. EPSTEIN DID NOT INDICATE IN ADVANCE AREA OF INQUIRY CONTEMPLATED AND WHEN ASKED BY FORMER SA DEVLIN HOW HE, EPSTEIN, HAD OBTAINED HIS, DEVLIN'S, PHONE NUMBER AT WORK, EPSTEIN INDICATED, "YOU KNOW, WE'VE GOT WAYS." IN ADDITION, EPSTEIN TOLD HIM THAT THE SSC ~~HAD~~

Given Broad
Been a

~~WAS~~ A SCOPE OF INQUIRY AND WERE MANDATED TO LOOK INTO THE ACTIVITIES OF VARIOUS INTELLIGENCE GATHERING AGENCIES.

EPSTEIN DID NOT MENTION THAT HE HAD ANY TYPE OF CLEARANCE BUT WAS VERY POSITIVE IN HIS POSITION THAT THE SSC HAD BEEN MANDATED BY CONGRESS TO CONDUCT INQUIRIES. EPSTEIN EXHIBITED

WLB/mm
(1)

~~66-3971-5~~

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *355 P* M Per *OK*

66-3963-10

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE 2 NK

AN IDENTIFICATION CARD CONTAINING HIS PHOTOGRAPH TO IDENTIFY HIMSELF AND HE DID NOT PLACE DEVLIN UNDER OATH. EPSTEIN DID NOT INDICATE WHERE HE HAD RECEIVED INFORMATION REGARDING DEVLIN'S PARTICIPATION IN THE 1964 ATLANTIC CITY CONVENTION AND WHEN ASKED BY DEVLIN, HE INDICATED ONLY THAT, "WE'VE GOT WAYS."

DEVLIN INDICATED THAT EPSTEIN'S INQUIRY WAS CONCERNED PRIMARILY WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING AND THE ELSUR COVERAGE AFFORDED KING. EPSTEIN'S INTEREST APPEARED TO ALSO CENTER AROUND WHO TOLD DEVLIN TO GO TO ATLANTIC CITY AND THE AIMS AND PURPOSES OF ^{the FBI's} DEVLIN'S ACTIVITIES AT ATLANTIC CITY. EPSTEIN SEEMED TO BE DRIVING AT THE POLITICAL OVERTONES CONNECTED WITH THE ATLANTIC CITY COVERAGE AND HE ASKED QUESTIONS PARTICULARLY INVOLVING THE LEGITIMACY OF THE FBI'S INVESTIGATION AND WHETHER OR NOT FORMER VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT HUMPHREY AND ^{the late} ROBERT KENNEDY WERE MONITORED AS BEING IN CONTACT WITH KING.

FORMER SA DEVLIN INDICATED ^A ~~THAT~~ HE WOULD IMMEDIATELY ADVISE [✓] SHOULD HE BE RECONTACTED REGARDING THIS MATTER.

NewARK

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 5/2/75

014

Transmit the following in CODED
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE
(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR (62-116395) 942 MAH

FROM NEWARK

SENSTUDY 75

RE: BUREAU TELETYPE TO ALEXANDRIA, MAY 2, 1975.

FORMER SA LEO THOMAS CLARK CONTACTED MAY 2, 1975, BY SAC PAUL J. MOHR AND HE WAS TOLD HE MIGHT BE INTERVIEWED BY THE SSC STAFF MEMBERS AND THAT IF QUESTIONS WERE ASKED WHICH RELATED TO SENSITIVE BUREAU OPERATIONS HE COULD REQUEST THAT AN FBI AGENT BE PRESENT. CLARK VOLUNTEERED HE WAS SUBPOENAED BEFORE WATERGATE COMMITTEE AND TESTIFIED. ALL THIS MATTER WAS REPORTED IN PRESS. HE ALSO VOLUNTEERED THAT ON APRIL 17, 1975, HE WAS INTERVIEWED BY COMMITTEE STAFF MEMBERS IN WASHINGTON D.C. ON SAME MATERIAL REGARDING 1964 DEMOCRATIC PARTY NOMINATING CONVENTION. ^{STAFF MEMBERS WERE} HE SAID THEY MICHAEL EPSTEIN, MONK GITERSTEIN, LOCK JOHNSON, HAD ALL OF HIS PREVIOUS TESTIMONY AND WENT OVER SAME MATERIAL. AT THE END OF THE CONVERSATION CLARK BECAME BELIGERANT STATING HE ONLY TOLD THE TRUTH REGARDING HIS ACTIVITIES IN THE BUREAU AND ADVISED THE BUREAU TO DO THE SAME. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT ASSISTANCE AN AGENT COULD RENDER TO HIM AND WHO INSTRUCTED THAT HE BE CONTACTED AND FOR WHAT REASON. CLARK WAS ADVISED WE MERELY INTENDED TO ASSIST HIM AND HE COULD ACCEPT IT OR NOT.

FORMER SA, HOWARD J. WILSON, SHORT HILLS, N.J., ALERTED PER

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 942 P M Per *[Signature]*

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
ASAC William Bailey
66-3971-4
66-3963-9

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO NK -

RETEL AND WAS APPRECIATIVE AND STATED HE HAD NOT BEEN CONTACTED
BUT WOULD ADVISE THE NEWARK OFFICE IF CONTACTED IN THE FUTURE.

FORMER SA, JOHN PATRICK DEVLIN, LIVINGSTON, N.J., CONTACTED
AND ALERTED PER RETEL AND STATED HE HAD NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
OTHER THAN SET FORTH IN NEWARK TELETYPE APRIL 30 LAST.

*BY SA C
B. G. W.*

END

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 5/2/75

009

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE IMMEDIATE
(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR *MAH*
FROM NEWARK (66-3963)
ATTN INTD, MR. W. CREGAR

ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY; 1964 DEMOCRATIC
PARTY NOMINATION CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY,
NEW JERSEY - JUNE.

RE TELEPHONE CALL ASAC CLOUGH TO INSPECTOR HELGESEN,
FBI HQ, MAY 2, 1975.

FORMER SA DANIEL BRENNAN, NOW RESIDING SEA GIRT, NJ,
TELEPHONICALLY INFORMED ASAC CLOUGH HE WAS CONTACTED BY
MICHAEL P. EPSTEIN OF US SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, AND APPOINTMENT MADE FOR INTERVIEW
OF HIM MAY 2, 1975. ASAC CLOUGH SUGGESTED MR. BRENNAN
MAY DESIRE TO HAVE PRIVATE COUNSEL PRESENT DURING INTERVIEW
AND SUGGESTED SA GEORGE EDWIN JONES ALSO BE PRESENT TO
PROTECT BUREAU'S INTEREST AND CONFINE EPSTEIN'S QUESTIONS
TO SCOPE OF INQUIRY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED FOR THAT COMMITTEE.

SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____ FILED _____

HJC/GEJ:jmb
(1)

~~66-3971-3~~
66-3963-8

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 5:15 M Per D/S

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO NK 66-3963

SA JONES WAS PRESENT AT RESIDENCE OF MR. BRENNAN, 501 BROOKLYN BLVD., SEA GIRT, NJ, UPON ARRIVAL OF EPSTEIN. WHEN SA JONES WAS INTRODUCED TO EPSTEIN AS AN AGENT OF THE FBI, EPSTEIN IMMEDIATELY REQUESTED THAT HE BE GIVEN USE OF A TELEPHONE. UPON RETURNING FROM THE TELEPHONE, EPSTEIN ASKED WHY SA JONES WAS PRESENT. EPSTEIN WAS ADVISED THAT THE AGENT WAS SENT ON THE INSTRUCTIONS OF HIS SUPERIORS WHEN IT WAS LEARNED THAT MR. EPSTEIN HAD REQUESTED AN INTERVIEW WITH MR. BRENNAN. SA JONES STATED THAT HIS PURPOSE IN BEING THERE WAS TO PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE FBI IN ANY POSSIBLE DISCUSSION IN THE AREA OF SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIONS AND PROCEDURES AS FAR AS SOURCES AND METHODS WERE CONCERNED. EPSTEIN STATED THAT THE INTERVIEW POSITIVELY WOULD NOT GET INTO THIS AREA OF DISCUSSION, AND THAT HE WOULD PREFER TO INTERVIEW MR. BRENNAN ALONE. AT THIS POINT, MR. BRENNAN STATED THAT IF THAT WERE THE CASE, HE WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO SPEAKING WITH MR. EPSTEIN ALONE, BUT SUGGESTED THAT SA JONES STAND BY IN THE HOUSE IN THE EVENT THAT THE INTERVIEW APPROACHED A CRITICAL AREA.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE THREE NK 66-3963

SA JONES STATED HE WOULD BE GLAD TO DO THIS BUT WANTED THE POINT MADE CLEAR THAT IN NO WAY SHOULD HIS PRESENCE BE CONSIDERED AN IMPEDIMENT TO THE DESIRED INTERVIEW, AND THAT SA JONES IN NO WAY WANTED TO PREVENT ACCESS TO INFORMATION TO WHICH MR. EPSTEIN WAS ENTITLED.

THE DISCUSSION BETWEEN MR. BRENNAN AND MR. EPSTEIN LASTED FROM 2:10 PM TO 3:10 PM AT WHICH TIME SA JONES ACCOMPANIED MR. BRENNAN IN WALKING MR. EPSTEIN TO HIS RENTAL CAR.

UPON THE DEPARTURE OF MR. EPSTEIN, MR. BRENNAN ADVISED THAT THE MAIN THRUST OF THE INTERVIEW WAS CONCERNED WITH THAT PERIOD OF TIME WHEN MR. BRENNAN WAS IN CHARGE OF THE LIAISON DESK AT FBI HQ, AND SPECIFICALLY, MR. BRENNAN'S KNOWLEDGE OF COMMUNICATION IN THE YEAR 1964, WHICH BUREAU HQ SENT TO LEGAL ATTACHES IN LONDON, ENGLAND AND ROME, ITALY, CONCERNING THE MARTIN LUTHER KING INVESTIGATION. ACCORDING TO MR. BRENNAN, HE STATED HE HAD NO CURRENT RECOLLECTION OF ANY SPECIFIC COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING THIS MATTER. HE EXPLAINED TO MR. EPSTEIN THAT SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS WENT OUT

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FOUR NK 66-3963

FROM SUBSTANTIVE DESKS AT THE BUREAU, AND THESE COMMUNICATIONS DID NOT HAVE TO HAVE HIS APPROVAL. ALTHOUGH HE MAY HAVE READ COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING SOME SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS, HE HAD NO PRESENT RECOLLECTIONS CONCERNING THE MATTER WHICH WAS THE SUBJECT OF MR. EPSTEIN'S INQUIRY.

MR. BRENNAN ALSO ADVISED THAT MANY TELEPHONIC COMMUNICATIONS WERE MADE TO LEGATS AT ROME AND LONDON, AND HE WOULD NOT HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THESE COMMUNICATIONS. MR. EPSTEIN DESIRED TO KNOW THE NAMES OF THE LEGATS IN LONDON AND ROME IN 1964. MR. BRENNAN ADVISED THAT TO THE BEST OF HIS RECOLLECTION, CHARLES BATES WAS LEGAT AT LONDON, AND ART CAMERATA WAS LEGAT AT ROME DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME.

BEFORE THE INTERVIEW WAS TERMINATED, EPSTEIN ASKED MR. BRENNAN IF HE ASKED THE FBI TO BE PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW. MR. BRENNAN REPORTEDLY TOLD MR. EPSTEIN THAT HE HAD CALLED THE NEWARK FBI OFFICE AND TOLD THEM OF THE REQUESTED INTERVIEW. WHEN THE FBI OFFERED THEIR ASSISTANCE, HE WAS PLEASED BECAUSE HE DID NOT KNOW THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE INTERVIEW AND WHETHER OR NOT MATTERS WHICH HE WOULD HAVE TO DISCUSS WERE

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FIVE NK 66-3963

MATTERS SUBJECT OF CURRENT FBI INVESTIGATION, IN WHICH CASE HE WOULD POSSIBLY BE VIOLATING DEPARTMENTAL REGULATIONS.

MR. BRENNAN STATED HE WOULD PROMPTLY ADVISE IF HE WAS CONTACTED BY MR. EPSTEIN ON A SUBSEQUENT DATE.

END.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

NRE74 WA CODE

955PM NITEL 5-2-75 MSE

TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

PERSONAL ATTENTION *ASMP*

SENSTUDY 75

CAPTIONED MATTER PERTAINS TO BUREAU'S HANDLING OF REQUESTS FROM SENATE AND HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. IN CONNECTION WITH WORK OF THESE COMMITTEES, STAFF MEMBERS MAY SEEK TO INTERVIEW CURRENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES.

RECENTLY, THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) STAFF HAS INTERVIEWED SEVERAL FORMER EMPLOYEES AND IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT MANY MORE SUCH PERSONNEL WILL BE CONTACTED.

THE FBI HAS PLEDGED FULL COOPERATION WITH THE COMMITTEE AND WE WISH TO ASSIST AND FACILITATE ANY INVESTIGATIONS UNDERTAKEN BY THE COMMITTEE WITH RESPECT TO THE FBI. HOWEVER, WE DO HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO INSURE THAT SENSITIVE SOURCES AND METHODS AND ONGOING SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIONS ARE FULLY

66-3563-7
66-3971-2

SEARCHED <i>[initials]</i>	INDEXED <i>[initials]</i>
SERIALIZED <i>[initials]</i>	FILED <i>[initials]</i>
MAY 2 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

[Handwritten signature]

PAGE TWO

PROTECTED. SHOULD ANY FORMER EMPLOYEE CONTACT YOUR OFFICE AND HAVE ANY QUESTION REGARDING HIS OBLIGATION NOT TO DIVULGE INFORMATION OBTAINED BY VIRTUE OF HIS PAST FBI EMPLOYMENT, HE SHOULD BE INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT LEGAL COUNSEL, FBIHQ, BY COLLECT CALL. YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH FORMER EMPLOYEES MUST BE IN KEEPING WITH OUR PLEDGE. IT IS BELIEVED SUCH A PROCEDURE WOULD INSURE PROPER PROTECTION AND ALSO FACILITATE THE WORK OF THE SSC.

THE ABOVE PROCEDURE ALSO APPLIES TO CURRENT EMPLOYEES OF YOUR OFFICE. HOWEVER, CONTACT WITH THE LEGAL COUNSEL SHOULD BE HANDLED THROUGH THE SAC.

END

NR038 WA CODE

5:22PM URGENT 5-2-75 WGM

TO ALEXANDRIA

BALTIMORE

NEW HAVEN

NEWARK

OMAHA

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

PERSONAL ATTENTION *POM/B*

SENSTUDY 75

CAPTIONED MATTER PERTAINS TO BUREAU'S HANDLING OF REQUESTS FROM SENATE AND HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. IN CONNECTION WITH WORK OF THESE COMMITTEES, STAFF MEMBERS MAY INTERVIEW CURRENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES. THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) STAFF HAS ALREADY INTERVIEWED SOME FORMER EMPLOYEES. NEWARK TELETYPE APRIL 30 LAST "ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY; 1964 DEMOCRATIC PARTY NOMINATING CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY," REPORTED ADVICE FROM FORMER SPECIAL AGENT JOHN P. DEVLIN THAT HE HAD BEEN INTERVIEWED BY

*Handled
by SAC
+ ASAC*

66-3563-6
66-3971-1

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 2 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

[Handwritten initials and marks]

PAGE TWO

MICHAEL T. EPSTEIN, SSC STAFF MEMBER, CONCERNING DEVLIN'S PART
IN FBI'S ACTIVITIES AT DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY,
AUGUST 22-28, 1964.

SET OUT BELOW ARE NAMES AND LAST KNOWN ADDRESSES OF FORMER
BUREAU AGENTS ASSIGNED TO SPECIAL SQUAD AT ATLANTIC CITY,
AUGUST, 1964. EACH OF THESE FORMER AGENTS IS TO BE IMMEDIATELY
CONTACTED AND ALERTED THAT THEY MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY THE SSC
STAFF. THEY ARE NOT, REPEAT NOT, TO BE ADVISED OF THE AREA WHICH
MAY BE COVERED IN ANY INTERVIEW OF THEM BY THE SSC. THEY SHOULD,
HOWEVER, BE TOLD THAT IN THE EVENT THEY ARE INTERVIEWED AND DURING
THE COURSE OF SAME, QUESTIONS ARE ASKED WHICH RELATE TO SENSITIVE
BUREAU OPERATIONS, THEY CAN REQUEST THAT AN FBI AGENT BE PRESENT.
CONTACTS WITH THESE FORMER AGENTS TO BE HANDLED PERSONALLY BY
SAC OR ASAC. IN THE EVENT THIS NOT FEASIBLE FOR JUST CAUSE, TO
BE HANDLED BY A SENIOR SUPERVISOR.

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONTACT, RESULTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED BUREAU
BY TELETYPE IN ABOVE CAPTION. IF A FORMER AGENT NO LONGER IN
YOUR TERRITORY OR TEMPORARILY AWAY, SET OUT LEAD TO OTHER OFFICE
IMMEDIATELY WITH COPY TO FBIHQ.

NEWARK SHOULD INCLUDE RECONTACT WITH DEVLIN FOR PURPOSE

PAGE THREE

INDICATED ABOVE AND ALSO FURNISH BUREAU ANY INFORMATION DEVLIN
MAY HAVE FURNISHED YOUR OFFICE IN ADDITION TO THAT IN YOUR TELETYPE.

ALEXANDRIA: HAROLD P. LEINBAUGH, 1643 NORTH VAN DORN,

ALEXANDRIA. WILLIAM P. GEORGE, 3338 WAGON WHEEL ROAD, ALEXANDRIA

BALTIMORE: DONALD G. HANNING, 18 OXFORD STREET, CHEVY CHASE

NEW HAVEN: HOBSON H. ADCOCK, 65 GLENBROOK ROAD, STAMFORD;

CONNECTICUT.

NEWARK: LEO THOMAS CLARK, 1421 ATLANTIC AVENUE,

ATLANTIC CITY. JOHN PATRICK DEVLIN, 39 BENNINGTON ROAD,

LIVINGSTON, NEW JERSEY. HOWARD J. WILSON, 30 CAMPBELL ROAD,

SHORT HILLS, NEW JERSEY.

OMAHA: JOHN J. QUINN, IOWA LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY,

JOHNSTON, IOWA.

END

DJP FBI NK ACK FOR (2)

CLR

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEWARK

FROM : JOHN P. DEVLIN

SUBJECT: 1964 DEMOCRATIC PARTY
NOMINATING CONVENTION
ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY

DATE: APR 30 1975

On Friday, 4/25/75, I met with a MICHAEL T. EPSTEIN of the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, who was seeking information regarding my part in a technical surveillance in Atlantic City, N.J., in the summer of 1964, during the Democratic Party nominating convention, on the rooms occupied by the late MARTIN LUTHER KING at the Claridge Hotel. He stated his committee has a broad mandate to look into the Intelligence investigation activities of the FBI.

In his questions, he covered the following points:

Who told me to go to Atlantic City?

Where did they get their instructions?

What was I told to do when I got to Atlantic City?

Was I told why I was going there, i.e., the purpose or aim of this particular investigation?

Who gave further instructions in Atlantic City?

Who did I report to in Atlantic City?

What did I do there?

What did I do with any information obtained from my efforts?

Who did I remember as being in contact with KING?

Who did I remember being in KING's hotel suite?

JPD:jmt
(3)

*Lee's best
just from*

*TCCM destroyed
66-3991 4/30/75
mjr*

66-3963-5

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
APR 30 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

JPD



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

What did I hear about any plans for a Vice-Presidential nominee?

Did I ever meet or talk to CARTHA DE LOACH?

Did I ever dictate any memoranda regarding this surveillance to a stenographer in Atlantic City?

Did I know of any other technical surveillances in Atlantic City at that time?

My recollection of details of this assignment, without benefit of any memoranda or files to review, is necessarily sketchy, and I told this to Mr. EPSTEIN. As I recalled the broad overall situation, I told him that I worked with JOHN J. CONNOLLY, JR. on our particular assignment, and we probably received our instructions from SAC BACHMAN through our supervisor, WILLIAM GAGNON. I had to assume that Mr. BACHMAN received these instructions from somebody at Bureau Headquarters.

Our purpose in going to Atlantic City was to obtain whatever information we could about planned disruptive tactics or demonstrations that would threaten the safety of President JOHNSON or the security of the convention.

Since I was the sound man for the Newark Office, my efforts in this regard were concentrated on a technical surveillance as opposed to any physical surveillance or other investigative activity conducted by other Agents from the Newark Office in Atlantic City at that time.

MARTIN LUTHER KING was selected as the target of our surveillance. By whom, I do not recall. He was the leader of a group opposing President JOHNSON, so I suppose he was a likely target.

Mr. EPSTEIN was interested in my opinion as to the legitimacy of our investigation as a security measure. I was unable to impugn any other motives to this investigation other than as set out above.

I do not recall details of our handling of the information received over the technical surveillance. SA BILLIE D. WILLIAMS was liaison with CARTHA DE LOACH and arranged or handled any contacts regarding the information or instructions we received. Again, I was unable to identify any contacts or associates of KING without reference to whatever notes we may have kept on the surveillance. In response to specific questions as to whether or not HUBERT H. HUMPHREY and ROBERT KENNEDY were in touch with or visited KING in his suite, I had to tell Mr. EPSTEIN that they may have been, but I could not state positively one way or the other as there were many politicians of the day in contact with him.

I recalled, in answer to his questions, that KING's wife, CORETTA, was in touch with him regarding her plans to travel to the convention and also that he was in contact with a noted entertainer, MEHALIA JACKSON, who was appearing at some club in Atlantic City at that time, and who was apparently an old friend of KING's.

As to the question of what information was transmitted to DE LOACH, I suppose we sent everything that would indicate any action on the floor of the convention. I am vague on the details of how we handled the transcription and reporting of information we received. We may have written longhand summaries or dictated via telephone to a stenographer.

I was aware of another installation, either attempted or operated at a store front operation of some organization that threatened disruption of the convention, but I had no details concerning it.

Mr. EPSTEIN was unable to advise me as to whether or not I would be contacted again regarding this matter.

F B I

Date: 1/26/75

002

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE IMMEDIATE
(Priority)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI **TST**
ATTENTION: INSPECTION DIVISION
FROM NEWARK
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

RE: BUREAU TELETYPE DATED JANUARY 26, 1975.

FOLLOWING ARE RESPONSES TO ENUMERATED QUESTIONS BY NEWARK
PERSONNEL WHO PARTICIPATED IN COVERAGE OF 1964 DEMOCRATIC
NATIONAL CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY:

SA THOMAS G. BUTTLE

(1) FORMER SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(2) NO RECOLLECTION OF ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS OTHER
THAN RELAYED BY FORMER ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH.

(3) I HAND CARRIED MAIL FROM ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH
TO THE ATLANTIC CITY AIRPORT AND TURNED OVER TO ALLEGHENY PILOT
FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE WASHINGTON NATIONAL AIRPORT. ALSO PICKED

HDC/rac/mm/sjf
(1)

sjf

pp

66-3963-4

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *7:15* *8* M Per *JF*

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE TWO

UP MAIL BEING SENT TO ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH FROM NATIONAL AIRPORT.

I AFFORDED FISUR COVERAGE OF MEMBERS OF SNCC, CORE AND WHITE AND BLACK GROUPS DEMONSTRATING AT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION.

(4) ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND LEO T. CLARK. I REPORTED TO CLARK.

(5) ALL INFORMATION WAS ORALLY REPORTED TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION.

(6) MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT DE LOACH HELD A CONFERENCE UPON HIS ARRIVAL IN ATLANTIC CITY TO EXPLAIN THAT INFORMATION HAD BEEN RECEIVED AT THE BUREAU INDICATING EFFORTS WOULD BE MADE TO DISRUPT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION BY MILITANT AND/OR CIVIL RIGHTS GROUPS. DE LOACH FELT THAT ANY DISRUPTION AT THE CONVENTION COULD BE AVOIDED BY GATHERING OF INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THESE GROUPS, WHICH INFORMATION WOULD THEN BE RELAYED TO THE SECRET SERVICE AND LOCAL POLICE FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION.

(7) NO.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE THREE

(8) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS RECALLED, HOWEVER, MY ACTIVITIES WERE ASSUMED TO BE WITHIN THE INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION OF THE BUREAU.

(9) NO RECOLLECTION. ASSIGNMENT WAS AFFORDED THE SAME CONFIDENTIALITY AS IN ANY OTHER BUREAU SECURITY MATTER.

(10) NO. NO. NO ATTEMPTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO INTERVIEW ME BY ANY FORMER BUREAU EMPLOYEE.

(11) I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN ANY TESUR ACTIVITY.

(12) NONE.

SA HARRY D. JONES

(1) SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(2) TO STAND SECURITY WATCH AT THE ATLANTIC CITY RA AND RELATED SPACES FROM 11 P.M. UNTIL ABOUT 10 A.M. THE NEXT DAY AND TO BURN THE TRASH.

(3) TO STAND SECURITY WATCH FROM 11 P.M. TO ABOUT 10 A.M. THE NEXT DAY AND TO ANSWER THE TELEPHONE.

(4) THE CHAIN OF COMMAND WAS ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND SRA LEO T. CLARK. I REPORTED DIRECTLY TO MR. DE LOACH.

(5) MY RESULTS WERE FURNISHED ORALLY EXCEPT ON ONE OCCASION

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE FOUR

WHEN I WAS UNABLE TO CONTACT SECRET SERVICE AT CONVENTION HALL, ATLANTIC CITY, REGARDING A SECURITY MATTER. I WAS ADVISED TO SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM TO THE DIRECTOR, WHICH I DID. ON THIS OCCASION, THE SECRET SERVICE OFFICE AT CONVENTION HALL, ATLANTIC CITY, WAS CLOSED, AND I NOTIFIED THE NIGHT SUPERVISOR AT THE BUREAU, NAME NOT RECALLED, ON THE FACTS AND HE TOLD ME TO SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM. THAT WAS THE ONLY OCCASION IN WHICH I SUBMITTED A WRITTEN MEMORANDUM.

(6) IN FULFILLING THIS ASSIGNMENT, MY ONLY INSTRUCTION WAS TO MAINTAIN SECURITY AT THE ATLANTIC CITY RA SPACES AND THE SPECIAL OFFICE SET UP ON THE SECOND FLOOR OF THE OLD POST OFFICE BUILDING, PACIFIC AND PENNSYLVANIA AVENUES, ATLANTIC CITY, AND TO HANDLE ALL INQUIRIES. IT IS NOTED THAT MR. DE LOACH GENERALLY LEFT THE OFFICE ABOUT ONE A.M. AND AFTER THAT TIME THERE WERE PRACTICALLY NO INCOMING TELEPHONE CALLS.

(7) NO INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY ME THAT THE BUREAU'S SERVICES WERE BEING UTILIZED FOR POLITICAL REASON.

(8) I HAD NOT RECEIVED ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THIS OPERATION BUT IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE BUREAU'S

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE FIVE

INTEREST IN THIS MATTER WAS INTERNAL SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES REGARDING POSSIBLE RACIAL MATTERS THAT WOULD LEAD TO RACIAL UNREST OR CIVIL STRIFE. ALSO TO OBTAIN INFORMATION OF ANY RACIAL UNREST THAT COULD BE DISSEMINATED TO APPROPRIATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

(9) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS WERE ISSUED. I ASSUMED THAT THIS ASSIGNMENT, AS WELL AS OTHER BUREAU ASSIGNMENTS OF THIS NATURE, WAS TO BE REGARDED AS CONFIDENTIAL.

(10) I NEVER DISCUSSED THIS ASSIGNMENT WITH ANY PERSON OUTSIDE THE BUREAU.

(11) I HAD NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ANY TESURS. I FEEL THAT OUR INVOLVEMENT WAS OF AN INTELLIGENCE GATHERING NATURE CONCERNING CORE, SNCC, AND OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS WHO CAME TO ATLANTIC CITY TO POSSIBLY INVOLVE THEMSELVES IN RACIAL AND CIVIL UNREST.

(12) THE FOREGOING ELEVEN QUESTIONS, I BELIEVE, CONCERNING THE INQUIRY OF MY ACTIVITIES DURING THE 1964 DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION COMPLETELY COVERS MY ACTIVITIES. I AM UNABLE TO RECOMMEND FURTHER QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS MATTER.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE SIX

JOHN J. CONNOLLY, JR.

(1) SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN INSTRUCTED FORMER SA JOHN P. DEVLIN AND MYSELF TO PREPARE NECESSARY SOUND EQUIPMENT FOR POSSIBLE COVERAGE AT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION IN ATLANTIC CITY. HE STATED THAT FULL DETAILS WOULD BE FORTHCOMING FROM ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CARTHA D. DE LOACH WHO WOULD MEET US AT ATLANTIC CITY. SA B.D. WILLIAMS JOINED US LATER IN THE DAY.

(2) MR. DE LOACH INSTRUCTED US TO INSTITUTE TELEPHONE AND, IF POSSIBLE, MICROPHONE COVERAGE OF THE SUITE (TWO ROOMS) OCCUPIED BY MARTIN LUTHER KING AND HIS PARTY, AND POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL COVERAGE AT A FUTURE TIME. MR. DE LOACH TOLD US THAT PRESIDENT JOHNSON INSTRUCTED HIM TO INITIATE WHATEVER COVERAGE NECESSARY TO GET ANY INFORMATION WHICH MIGHT CAUSE EMBARRASSMENT TO THE PRESIDENT.

(3) TO INSTITUTE TELEPHONE, AND IF POSSIBLE, MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON THE HOTEL ROOMS OCCUPIED BY MARTIN LUTHER KING AND HIS PARTY. WE WERE INSTRUCTED BY MR. DE LOACH THAT OTHER COVERAGE MIGHT ALSO BE NECESSARY AS THE CONVENTION CONTINUED. HE LATER

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE SEVEN

REQUESTED MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON THE HEADQUARTERS OF SNCC AND CORE, WHICH OCCUPIED A STORE IN ATLANTIC CITY. THIS WAS DONE. WE WERE LATER INFORMED THAT NO ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL COVERAGE WOULD BE NECESSARY. DEVLIN AND I MONITORED THE TELEPHONE COVERAGE ON KING. IT WAS NOT PRACTICAL TO INSTITUTE MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON HIS SUITE.

(4) WE REPORTED DIRECTLY TO MR. DE LOACH OR HIS ASSISTANT, WHOSE NAME I CAN NOT RECALL.

(5) RESULTS WERE GIVEN TO THE PERSONS MENTIONED IN ITEM 4 ORALLY. WE MAINTAINED NOTES. THESE WERE LATER INITIALED AND FILED IN NEWARK OFFICE.

(6) SEE ITEM 2.

(7-8) MR. DE LOACH INSTRUCTED THAT THE PRESIDENT DESIRED INFORMATION COVERING REVOLUTIONARY OR TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS AND THEIR ATTEMPTS TO GAIN RECOGNITION EVEN AT THE EXPENSE OF CAUSING EMBARRASSMENT TO THE PRESIDENT. MR. DE LOACH STATED HE WANTED INFORMATION OF ANY KIND WHICH MIGHT RELATE TO THE CONVENTION.

(9) NO.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE EIGHT

(10) NO.

(11) MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., AND THE HEADQUARTERS OF SNCC AND CORE.

(12) MR. DE LOACH PLACED SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON THE FACT THAT THE PRESIDENT DID NOT WISH TO BE EMBARRASSED IN ANY WAY AND THAT WE MUST GATHER WHATEVER INFORMATION NECESSARY TO ASSURE THAT THERE WOULD BE NO EMBARRASSMENT.

DONALD E. ALMAN

(1) MY SUPERVISOR WAS NEWELL S. IRWIN.

(2) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS. ADVISED TO BE AVAILABLE IN ATLANTIC CITY FOR ANY TASK WHICH NEEDED TO BE PERFORMED.

(3) VARIOUS ASSIGNMENTS; MANNED TELEPHONE IN RA; RELIEF ON PHONE TAP ONE DAY ON MARTIN LUTHER KING; ASSIGNED TO FLOOR OF CONVENTION HALL IN AREA OF MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM PARTY AS INDICATION WAS THEY INTENDED TO MAKE TROUBLE; ASSIGNED TO POSITION OPPOSITE BALCONY WHEN PRESIDENT APPEARED. THIS APPARENTLY TO BOLSTER SECRET SERVICE AGENTS MINGLING IN CROWD.

(4) CARTHA DE LOACH WAS IN COMMAND AND ISSUED ALL INSTRUCTIONS GENERALLY THROUGH SRA CLARK. INFORMATION WOULD

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE NINE

HAVE BEEN RETURNED THROUGH THE SAME CHANNELS.

(5) ONLY WRITTEN INFORMATION, IF ANY, WOULD HAVE BEEN LOG MAINTAINED DURING MY ONE-TIME RELIEF ON KING TAP.

(6) PRIMARY PURPOSE OF MY ASSIGNMENT TO ATLANTIC CITY, AS I SEE IT, WAS TO PERFORM ANY GENERAL ASSIGNMENT AS DIRECTED BY SRA LEO CLARK. AS I SAW MY ASSIGNMENT, IT WAS FOR PURPOSE OF OBSERVING DEMONSTRATORS AND IDENTIFYING INDIVIDUALS WHO MIGHT DISRUPT OR BE PRONE TO VIOLENCE.

(7) NO.

(8) TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, ALL INSTRUCTIONS I MAY HAVE RECEIVED INDICATED TO ME THAT I WAS IN ATLANTIC CITY WITH OTHER AGENTS TO PROTECT THE BUREAU'S INTEREST IN MATTERS FALLING WITHIN ITS INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION.

(9) I DO NOT RECALL ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS IN THIS REGARD; HOWEVER, I AFFORDED IT THE SAME CONFIDENTIALITY I WOULD AFFORD ANY OTHER BUREAU ASSIGNMENT.

(10) NO.

(11) MARTIN LUTHER KING, MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM PARTY, DICK GREGORY.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE TEN

(12) NONE.

GUS M. MOORE

I WAS ASSIGNED BY SUPERVISOR CHARLES J. SHELDON TO WORK GENERAL CRIMINAL MATTERS IN THE ATLANTIC CITY RA TERRITORY DURING THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION. I HAD ASSIGNMENTS ON TWO NIGHTS IN RELATION TO THE CONVENTION.

(1) SRA LEO CLARK.

(2) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS.

(3) ONE NIGHT WAS TO OBSERVE THE AREA NEAR THE CONVENTION HALL FOR POSSIBLE VIOLENCE AMONG VARIOUS GROUPS MILLING AROUND OUTSIDE HALL. ON ANOTHER NIGHT, I WAS INSTRUCTED TO GO TO THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION AND REMAIN IN THE AREA OF THE MISSISSIPPI DELEGATION, SINCE TROUBLE MAY BE EXPECTED IN THAT AREA BETWEEN REGULAR DELEGATES AND THE MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM DEMOCRATS.

(4) INFORMATION WOULD HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO SRA LEO CLARK.

(5) NO INFORMATION TO REPORT WAS RECEIVED. NO ROUGH DRAFT NOTES MAINTAINED. NO COMMUNICATION PREPARED.

(6) THE PURPOSE WAS NOT EXPLAINED TO ME OTHER THAN WHAT IS SET OUT IN NUMBER 3.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE ELEVEN

(7) NO.

(8) MY ASSIGNMENT WAS TO OBSERVE DEMONSTRATORS OUTSIDE THE CONVENTION HALL WHO MAY BE PRONE TO VIOLENCE AND TO IDENTIFY THE GROUP. THE NIGHT ON THE CONVENTION FLOOR WAS TO POSSIBLY PROTECT THE PRESIDENT SHOULD ANY DISTURBANCE OCCUR.

(9) NO.

(10) NO.

(11) UNKNOWN. I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY COVERAGE.

(12) MY KNOWLEDGE LIMITED TO ANSWERS IN ITEMS 1 THROUGH 11.

SENIOR RMT WILLIAM L. DWYER

(1) SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN.

(2) NONE, OTHER THAN TO STAND BY AT ATLANTIC CITY RA TO PROVIDE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS.

(3) MAINTAIN RADIO COVERAGE BOTH PORTABLE AND BASE STATION AT THE RA, CONVENTION HALL, AND TO AGENTS ASSIGNED TO BOARDWALK IN MOBILE UNITS AND WITH PORTABLE EQUIPMENT.

(4) ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(5) NOT APPLICABLE. (ORALLY, WHETHER RADIO COVERAGE WAS SATISFACTORY OR NOT.)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE TWELVE

(6) TOLD NOTHING OTHER THAN TO PROVIDE SATISFACTORY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS.

(7) NO.

(8) MY INSTRUCTIONS WERE TO REPORT TO ATLANTIC CITY RA FOR RADIO PURPOSES AND IT WAS CLEAR IT WAS A MATTER FALLING WITHIN THE BUREAU'S JURISDICTION.

(9) I CANNOT RECALL DIRECTLY. BUT I TREAT ALL BUREAU WORK I PERFORM AS CONFIDENTIAL.

(10) NO.

(11) TESURS IS A TERM I AM UNFAMILIAR WITH.

(12) NONE.

DALE R. SUTTON

(1) MY SUPERVISOR WAS CONRAD W. THOMPSON.

(2) I WAS DETAILED TO GO TO ATLANTIC CITY AND AWAIT INSTRUCTIONS THROUGH THE SRA, LEO T. CLARK.

(3) MY INSTRUCTIONS WERE TO ASSIST WHEREVER NEEDED AND PARTICULARLY TO PROTECT THE BUREAU'S INTERESTS AND ASSIST THE SECRET SERVICE DETAIL AT THE CONVENTION. I WORKED THROUGH THE ATLANTIC CITY SRA. SPECIFICALLY, I WORKED ON THE CONVENTION

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE THIRTEEN

FLOOR AT VARIOUS TIMES AND PARTICULARLY WHEN PRESIDENT LYNDON JOHNSON ARRIVED AT THE CONVENTION. THE SECRET SERVICE DETAIL WAS CONCERNED WITH DEMONSTRATIONS ON THE CONVENTION FLOOR AND THE USE OF CREDENTIALS PASSED OUT OF DOORS AND WINDOWS TO VARIOUS INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS TO ENABLE THEM TO ENTER CONVENTION HALL AND CONDUCT DEMONSTRATIONS AND USE DISRUPTIVE TACTICS.

(4) OVERALL SUPERVISION AT ATLANTIC CITY WAS BY MR. CARTHA DE LOACH; HOWEVER, MY ASSIGNMENTS ALL CAME THROUGH SRA CLARK WHO WORKED CLOSELY WITH STATE POLICE AND SECRET SERVICE.

(5) ALL INFORMATION OBTAINED WAS FURNISHED ORALLY TO SRA CLARK.

(6) NOTIFICATION OF THIS ASSIGNMENT WAS BY SUPERVISOR CONRAD W. THOMPSON. I WAS ADVISED THAT MY PRESENCE AND THAT OF OTHER AGENTS WAS MAINLY TO ASSIST SECRET SERVICE MEN IN THEIR SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES AND TO BE AN OBSERVER AT ANY DEMONSTRATIONS WHICH MIGHT OCCUR ON THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION.

(7) NO.

(8) MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT AGENTS WERE THERE AS

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE FOURTEEN

OBSERVERS AND TO ASSIST SECRET SERVICE AND CARRY OUT THE OVERALL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FBI.

(9) THE IDENTIFICATION ISSUED TO ME WAS THAT OF AN NBC NEWSMAN AND I WAS CAUTIONED TO KEEP MY IDENTITY CONCEALED, PARTICULARLY ON THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION.

(10) NO.

(11) I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY TESUR COVERAGE.

(12) NONE.

LLOYD A. NELSON

(1) EITHER SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN OR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CARTHA DE LOACH INSTRUCTED US TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ASSIGNMENT.

(2) THE ONLY INSTRUCTIONS I HAD WERE TO PHOTOGRAPH THE GROUPS WHICH HAD THE POTENTIAL FOR VIOLENCE, SUCH AS SNCC AND CORE. NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS WERE GIVEN.

(3) MY ASSIGNMENT WAS STRICTLY PHOTOGRAPHY.

(4) AS I RECALL, MR. DE LOACH HAD TWO OR THREE MEN WITH HIM FROM THE BUREAU; HOWEVER, I DO NOT RECALL THEIR NAMES. WE MAY HAVE REPORTED TO THEM OR TO MR. DE LOACH. ACTUALLY, THERE WAS NO DAY-TO-DAY REPORTING OF WHAT I HAD DONE AND WHEN

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE FIFTEEN

THE CONVENTION WAS OVER, ALL THE EXPOSED FILM WAS TURNED OVER TO MR. DE LOACH AND HIS ASSISTANTS TO BE TAKEN TO THE BUREAU.

(5) THE ONLY INFORMATION I EVER GAVE WOULD HAVE BEEN ORALLY AND I NEVER WROTE ANY MEMORANDA OF ANY TYPE.

(6) AS I RECALL, MY ASSIGNMENT WAS TO PHOTOGRAPH ACTIVITIES MAINLY IN THE AREA OF CONVENTION HALL AND THE BOARDWALK. IT WAS MY IMPRESSION THAT IN THE EVENT ANY OF THESE GROUPS, SUCH AS SNCC OR CORE, HAD ANY DEMONSTRATIONS OR IF ANY VIOLENCE OCCURRED THAT PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SITUATION WOULD BE OBTAINED AND COULD BE USED LATER TO IDENTIFY THE PEOPLE INVOLVED.

(7) I HAVE NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FINAL DESTINATION OF ANY OF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED BUT I RECALL THAT ONE OF THE PRESIDENT'S AIDES, WHOSE NAME I BELIEVE WAS WALTER JENKINS, WAS EITHER AT CONVENTION HALL OR AT SOME OTHER OFFICE IN THE CITY. I BELIEVE THAT MR. DE LOACH CONTACTED JENKINS WITH INFORMATION HE MIGHT HAVE RECEIVED.

(8) IT IS MY OPINION THAT OUR PURPOSE WAS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION REGARDING THE POSSIBLE ACTIONS OF THE SNCC OR CORE GROUPS SO THAT APPROPRIATE PEOPLE COULD BE FOREWARNED OF ANY

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

NK

PAGE SIXTEEN

POSSIBLE DEMONSTRATIONS OR VIOLENCE. ALL OF THIS WOULD BE WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE BUREAU.

(9) I RECALL NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE ASSIGNMENT; HOWEVER, IT WAS TREATED IN THE NORMAL CONFIDENTIAL MANNER AS ALL BUREAU WORK.

(10) I NEVER DISCUSSED THIS ASSIGNMENT WITH ANYONE OUTSIDE THE BUREAU.

(11) I KNOW OF NO INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE COVERED BY TESURS.

(12) AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER INDICATED THAT DE LOACH HAD A DIRECT LINE TO THE WHITE HOUSE. I AM AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THEY DID HAVE A DIRECT LINE TO THE BUREAU; HOWEVER, I KNOW OF NO DIRECT LINE TO THE WHITE HOUSE AND NEVER HEARD THIS DISCUSSED.

THIS CONCLUDES RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS OF PERTINENT NEWARK PERSONNEL REQUESTED IN REBUTEL.

END

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

NR 002 NK CODE

745 PM IMMEDIATE 1/26/75 TJB

TO DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTENTION: INSPECTION DIVISION
FROM NEWARK
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

RE: BUREAU TELETYPE DATED JANUARY 26, 1975.

FOLLOWING ARE RESPONSES TO ENUMERATED QUESTIONS BY NEWARK
PERSONNEL WHO PARTICIPATED IN COVERAGE OF 1964 DEMOCRATIC
NATIONAL CONVENTION, ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY:

SA THOMAS G. BUTTLE

(1) FORMER SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(2) NO RECOLLECTION OF ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS OTHER
THAN RELAYED BY FORMER ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH.

(3) I HAND CARRIED MAIL FROM ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH
TO THE ATLANTIC CITY AIRPORT AND TURNED OVER TO ALLEGHENY PILOT
FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE WASHINGTON NATIONAL AIRPORT. ALSO PICKED

66-3963-4

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

Am
HAR

66-

NK

PAGE TWO

UP MAIL BEING SENT TO ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH FROM NATIONAL AIRPORT.

I AFFORDED FISUR COVERAGE OF MEMBERS OF SNCC, CORE AND WHITE AND BLACK GROUPS DEMONSTRATING AT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION.

(4) ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND LEO T. CLARK. I REPORTED TO CLARK.

(5) ALL INFORMATION WAS ORALLY REPORTED TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION.

(6) MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT DE LOACH HELD A CONFERENCE UPON HIS ARRIVAL IN ATLANTIC CITY TO EXPLAIN THAT INFORMATION HAD BEEN RECEIVED AT THE BUREAU INDICATING EFFORTS WOULD BE MADE TO DISRUPT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION BY MILITANT AND/OR CIVIL RIGHTS GROUPS. DE LOACH FELT THAT ANY DISRUPTION AT THE CONVENTION COULD BE AVOIDED BY GATHERING OF INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THESE GROUPS, WHICH INFORMATION WOULD THEN BE RELAYED TO THE SECRET SERVICE AND LOCAL POLICE FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION.

(7) NO.

NK

PAGE THREE

(8) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS RECALLED, HOWEVER, MY ACTIVITIES WERE ASSUMED TO BE WITHIN THE INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION OF THE BUREAU.

(9) NO RECOLLECTION. ASSIGNMENT WAS AFFORDED THE SAME CONFIDENTIALITY AS IN ANY OTHER BUREAU SECURITY MATTER.

(10) NO. NO. NO ATTEMPTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO INTERVIEW ME BY ANY FORMER BUREAU EMPLOYEE.

(11) I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN ANY TESUR ACTIVITY.

(12) NONE.

SA HARRY D. JONES

(1) SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(2) TO STAND SECURITY WATCH AT THE ATLANTIC CITY RA AND RELATED SPACES FROM 11 P.M. UNTIL ABOUT 10 A.M. THE NEXT DAY AND TO BURN THE TRASH.

(3) TO STAND SECURITY WATCH FROM 11 P.M. TO ABOUT 10 A.M. THE NEXT DAY AND TO ANSWER THE TELEPHONE.

(4) THE CHAIN OF COMMAND WAS ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND SRA LEO T. CLARK. I REPORTED DIRECTLY TO MR. DE LOACH.

(5) MY RESULTS WERE FURNISHED ORALLY EXCEPT ON ONE OCCASION

HK

PAGE FOUR

WHEN I WAS UNABLE TO CONTACT SECRET SERVICE AT CONVENTION HALL, ATLANTIC CITY, REGARDING A SECURITY MATTER. I WAS ADVISED TO SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM TO THE DIRECTOR, WHICH I DID. ON THIS OCCASION, THE SECRET SERVICE OFFICE AT CONVENTION HALL, ATLANTIC CITY, WAS CLOSED, AND I NOTIFIED THE NIGHT SUPERVISOR AT THE BUREAU, NAME NOT RECALLED, ON THE FACTS AND HE TOLD ME TO SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM. THAT WAS THE ONLY OCCASION IN WHICH I SUBMITTED A WRITTEN MEMORANDUM.

(6) IN FULFILLING THIS ASSIGNMENT, MY ONLY INSTRUCTION WAS TO MAINTAIN SECURITY AT THE ATLANTIC CITY RA SPACES AND THE SPECIAL OFFICE SET UP ON THE SECOND FLOOR OF THE OLD POST OFFICE BUILDING, PACIFIC AND PENNSYLVANIA AVENUES, ATLANTIC CITY, AND TO HANDLE ALL INQUIRIES. IT IS NOTED THAT MR. DE LOACH GENERALLY LEFT THE OFFICE ABOUT ONE A.M. AND AFTER THAT TIME THERE WERE PRACTICALLY NO INCOMING TELEPHONE CALLS.

(7) NO INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY ME THAT THE BUREAU'S SERVICES WERE BEING UTILIZED FOR POLITICAL REASON.

(8) I HAD NOT RECEIVED ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THIS OPERATION BUT IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE BUREAU'S

NK

PAGE FIVE

INTEREST IN THIS MATTER WAS INTERNAL SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES REGARDING POSSIBLE RACIAL MATTERS THAT WOULD LEAD TO RACIAL UNREST OR CIVIL STRIFE. ALSO TO OBTAIN INFORMATION OF ANY RACIAL UNREST THAT COULD BE DISSEMINATED TO APPROPRIATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

(9) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS WERE ISSUED. I ASSUMED THAT THIS ASSIGNMENT, AS WELL AS OTHER BUREAU ASSIGNMENTS OF THIS NATURE; WAS TO BE REGARDED AS CONFIDENTIAL.

(10) I NEVER DISCUSSED THIS ASSIGNMENT WITH ANY PERSON OUTSIDE THE BUREAU.

(11) I HAD NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ANY TESURS. I FEEL THAT OUR INVOLVEMENT WAS OF AN INTELLIGENCE GATHERING NATURE CONCERNING CORE, SNCC, AND OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS WHO CAME TO ATLANTIC CITY TO POSSIBLY INVOLVE THEMSELVES IN RACIAL AND CIVIL UNREST.

(12) THE FOREGOING ELEVEN QUESTIONS, I BELIEVE, CONCERNING THE INQUIRY OF MY ACTIVITIES DURING THE 1964 DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION COMPLETELY COVERS MY ACTIVITIES. I AM UNABLE TO RECOMMEND FURTHER QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS MATTER.

WK

PAGE SIX

JOHN J. CONNOLLY, JR.

(1) SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN INSTRUCTED FORMER SA JOHN P. DEVLIN AND MYSELF TO PREPARE NECESSARY SOUND EQUIPMENT FOR POSSIBLE COVERAGE AT THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION IN ATLANTIC CITY. HE STATED THAT FULL DETAILS WOULD BE FORTHCOMING FROM ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CARTHA D. DE LOACH WHO WOULD MEET US AT ATLANTIC CITY. SA B.D. WILLIAMS JOINED US LATER IN THE DAY.

(2) MR. DE LOACH INSTRUCTED US TO INSTITUTE TELEPHONE AND, IF POSSIBLE, MICROPHONE COVERAGE OF THE SUITE (TWO ROOMS) OCCUPIED BY MARTIN LUTHER KING AND HIS PARTY, AND POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL COVERAGE AT A FUTURE TIME. MR. DE LOACH TOLD US THAT PRESIDENT JOHNSON INSTRUCTED HIM TO INITIATE WHATEVER COVERAGE NECESSARY TO GET ANY INFORMATION WHICH MIGHT CAUSE EMBARRASSMENT TO THE PRESIDENT.

(3) TO INSTITUTE TELEPHONE, AND IF POSSIBLE, MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON THE HOTEL ROOMS OCCUPIED BY MARTIN LUTHER KING AND HIS PARTY. WE WERE INSTRUCTED BY MR. DE LOACH THAT OTHER COVERAGE MIGHT ALSO BE NECESSARY AS THE CONVENTION CONTINUED. HE LATER

NK

PAGE SEVEN

REQUESTED MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON THE HEADQUARTERS OF SNCC AND CORE, WHICH OCCUPIED A STORE IN ATLANTIC CITY. THIS WAS DONE. WE WERE LATER INFORMED THAT NO ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL COVERAGE WOULD BE NECESSARY. DEVLIN AND I MONITORED THE TELEPHONE COVERAGE ON KING. IT WAS NOT PRACTICAL TO INSTITUTE MICROPHONE COVERAGE ON HIS SUITE.

(4) WE REPORTED DIRECTLY TO MR. DE LOACH OR HIS ASSISTANT, WHOSE NAME I CAN NOT RECALL.

(5) RESULTS WERE GIVEN TO THE PERSONS MENTIONED IN ITEM 4 ORALLY. WE MAINTAINED NOTES. THESE WERE LATER INITIALED AND FILED IN NEWARK OFFICE.

(6) SEE ITEM 2.

(7-3) MR. DE LOACH INSTRUCTED THAT THE PRESIDENT DESIRED INFORMATION COVERING REVOLUTIONARY OR TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS AND THEIR ATTEMPTS TO GAIN RECOGNITION EVEN AT THE EXPENSE OF CAUSING EMBARRASSMENT TO THE PRESIDENT. MR. DE LOACH STATED HE WANTED INFORMATION OF ANY KIND WHICH MIGHT RELATE TO THE CONVENTION.

(9) NO.

• NK

PAGE EIGHT

(10) NO.

(11) MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., AND THE HEADQUARTERS OF SNCC AND CORE.

(12) MR. DE LOACH PLACED SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON THE FACT THAT THE PRESIDENT DID NOT WISH TO BE EMBARRASSED IN ANY WAY AND THAT WE MUST GATHER WHATEVER INFORMATION NECESSARY TO ASSURE THAT THERE WOULD BE NO EMBARRASSMENT.

DONALD E. ALMAN

(1) MY SUPERVISOR WAS NEWELL S. IRWIN.

(2) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS. ADVISED TO BE AVAILABLE IN ATLANTIC CITY FOR ANY TASK WHICH NEEDED TO BE PERFORMED.

(3) VARIOUS ASSIGNMENTS; MANNED TELEPHONE IN RA; RELIEF ON PHONE TAP ONE DAY ON MARTIN LUTHER KING; ASSIGNED TO FLOOR OF CONVENTION HALL IN AREA OF MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM PARTY AS INDICATION WAS THEY INTENDED TO MAKE TROUBLE; ASSIGNED TO POSITION OPPOSITE BALCONY WHEN PRESIDENT APPEARED. THIS APPARENTLY TO BOLSTER SECRET SERVICE AGENTS MINGLING IN CROWD.

(4) CARTHA DE LOACH WAS IN COMMAND AND ISSUED ALL INSTRUCTIONS GENERALLY THROUGH SRA CLARK. INFORMATION WOULD

NK

PAGE NINE

HAVE BEEN RETURNED THROUGH THE SAME CHANNELS.

(5) ONLY WRITTEN INFORMATION, IF ANY, WOULD HAVE BEEN LOG MAINTAINED DURING MY ONE-TIME RELIEF ON KING TAP.

(6) PRIMARY PURPOSE OF MY ASSIGNMENT TO ATLANTIC CITY, AS I SEE IT, WAS TO PERFORM ANY GENERAL ASSIGNMENT AS DIRECTED BY SRA LEO CLARK. AS I SAW MY ASSIGNMENT, IT WAS FOR PURPOSE OF OBSERVING DEMONSTRATORS AND IDENTIFYING INDIVIDUALS WHO MIGHT DISRUPT OR BE PRONE TO VIOLENCE.

(7) NO.

(8) TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, ALL INSTRUCTIONS I MAY HAVE RECEIVED INDICATED TO ME THAT I WAS IN ATLANTIC CITY WITH OTHER AGENTS TO PROTECT THE BUREAU'S INTEREST IN MATTERS FALLING WITHIN ITS INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION.

(9) I DO NOT RECALL ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS IN THIS REGARD; HOWEVER, I AFFORDED IT THE SAME CONFIDENTIALITY I WOULD AFFORD ANY OTHER BUREAU ASSIGNMENT.

(10) NO.

(11) MARTIN LUTHER KING, MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM PARTY,
DICK GREGORY.

NK

PAGE TEN

(12) NONE.

GUS M. MOORE

I WAS ASSIGNED BY SUPERVISOR CHARLES J. SHELDON TO WORK GENERAL CRIMINAL MATTERS IN THE ATLANTIC CITY RA TERRITORY DURING THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION. I HAD ASSIGNMENTS ON TWO NIGHTS IN RELATION TO THE CONVENTION.

(1) SRA LEO CLARK.

(2) NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS.

(3) ONE NIGHT WAS TO OBSERVE THE AREA NEAR THE CONVENTION HALL FOR POSSIBLE VIOLENCE AMONG VARIOUS GROUPS MILLING AROUND OUTSIDE HALL. ON ANOTHER NIGHT, I WAS INSTRUCTED TO GO TO THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION AND REMAIN IN THE AREA OF THE MISSISSIPPI DELEGATION, SINCE TROUBLE MAY BE EXPECTED IN THAT AREA BETWEEN REGULAR DELEGATES AND THE MISSISSIPPI FREEDOM DEMOCRATS.

(4) INFORMATION WOULD HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO SRA LEO CLARK.

(5) NO INFORMATION TO REPORT WAS RECEIVED. NO ROUGH DRAFT NOTES MAINTAINED. NO COMMUNICATION PREPARED.

(6) THE PURPOSE WAS NOT EXPLAINED TO ME OTHER THAN WHAT IS SET OUT IN NUMBER 3.

NK

PAGE ELEVEN

(7) NO.

(8) MY ASSIGNMENT WAS TO OBSERVE DEMONSTRATORS OUTSIDE THE CONVENTION HALL WHO MAY BE PRONE TO VIOLENCE AND TO IDENTIFY THE GROUP. THE NIGHT ON THE CONVENTION FLOOR WAS TO POSSIBLY PROTECT THE PRESIDENT SHOULD ANY DISTURBANCE OCCUR.

(9) NO.

(10) NO.

(11) UNKNOWN. I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY COVERAGE.

(12) MY KNOWLEDGE LIMITED TO ANSWERS IN ITEMS 1 THROUGH 11.

SENIOR RMT WILLIAM L. DWYER

(1) SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN.

(2) NONE, OTHER THAN TO STAND BY AT ATLANTIC CITY RA TO PROVIDE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS.

(3) MAINTAIN RADIO COVERAGE BOTH PORTABLE AND BASE STATION AT THE RA, CONVENTION HALL, AND TO AGENTS ASSIGNED TO BOARDWALK IN MOBILE UNITS AND WITH PORTABLE EQUIPMENT.

(4) ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DE LOACH AND SRA LEO T. CLARK.

(5) NOT APPLICABLE. (ORALLY, WHETHER RADIO COVERAGE WAS SATISFACTORY OR NOT.)

NK

PAGE TWELVE

(6) TOLD NOTHING OTHER THAN TO PROVIDE SATISFACTORY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS.

(7) NO.

(8) MY INSTRUCTIONS WERE TO REPORT TO ATLANTIC CITY RA FOR RADIO PURPOSES AND IT WAS CLEAR IT WAS A MATTER FALLING WITHIN THE BUREAU'S JURISDICTION.

(9) I CANNOT RECALL DIRECTLY. BUT I TREAT ALL BUREAU WORK I PERFORM AS CONFIDENTIAL.

(10) NO.

(11) TESURS IS A TERM I AM UNFAMILIAR WITH.

(12) NONE.

DALE R. SUTTON

(1) MY SUPERVISOR WAS CONRAD W. THOMPSON.

(2) I WAS DETAILED TO GO TO ATLANTIC CITY AND AWAIT INSTRUCTIONS THROUGH THE SRA, LEO T. CLARK.

(3) MY INSTRUCTIONS WERE TO ASSIST WHEREVER NEEDED AND PARTICULARLY TO PROTECT THE BUREAU'S INTERESTS AND ASSIST THE SECRET SERVICE DETAIL AT THE CONVENTION. I WORKED THROUGH THE ATLANTIC CITY SRA. SPECIFICALLY, I WORKED ON THE CONVENTION

NK

PAGE THIRTEEN

FLOOR AT VARIOUS TIMES AND PARTICULARLY WHEN PRESIDENT LYNDON JOHNSON ARRIVED AT THE CONVENTION. THE SECRET SERVICE DETAIL WAS CONCERNED WITH DEMONSTRATIONS ON THE CONVENTION FLOOR AND THE USE OF CREDENTIALS PASSED OUT OF DOORS AND WINDOWS TO VARIOUS INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS TO ENABLE THEM TO ENTER CONVENTION HALL AND CONDUCT DEMONSTRATIONS AND USE DISRUPTIVE TACTICS.

(4) OVERALL SUPERVISION AT ATLANTIC CITY WAS BY MR. CARTHA DE LOACH; HOWEVER, MY ASSIGNMENTS ALL CAME THROUGH SRA CLARK WHO WORKED CLOSELY WITH STATE POLICE AND SECRET SERVICE.

(5) ALL INFORMATION OBTAINED WAS FURNISHED ORALLY TO SRA CLARK.

(6) NOTIFICATION OF THIS ASSIGNMENT WAS BY SUPERVISOR CONRAD W. THOMPSON. I WAS ADVISED THAT MY PRESENCE AND THAT OF OTHER AGENTS WAS MAINLY TO ASSIST SECRET SERVICE MEN IN THEIR SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES AND TO BE AN OBSERVER AT ANY DEMONSTRATIONS WHICH MIGHT OCCUR ON THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION.

(7) NO.

(8) MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT AGENTS WERE THERE AS

NK

PAGE FOURTEEN

OBSERVERS AND TO ASSIST SECRET SERVICE AND CARRY OUT THE OVERALL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FBI.

(9) THE IDENTIFICATION ISSUED TO ME WAS THAT OF AN NBC NEWSMAN AND I WAS CAUTIONED TO KEEP MY IDENTITY CONCEALED, PARTICULARLY ON THE FLOOR OF THE CONVENTION.

(10) NO.

(11) I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY TESUR COVERAGE.

(12) NONE.

LLOYD A. NELSON

(1) EITHER SAC RALPH W. BACHMAN OR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CARHA DE LOACH INSTRUCTED US TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ASSIGNMENT.

(2) THE ONLY INSTRUCTIONS I HAD WERE TO PHOTOGRAPH THE GROUPS WHICH HAD THE POTENTIAL FOR VIOLENCE, SUCH AS SNCC AND CORE. NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS WERE GIVEN.

(3) MY ASSIGNMENT WAS STRICTLY PHOTOGRAPHY.

(4) AS I RECALL, MR. DE LOACH HAD TWO OR THREE MEN WITH HIM FROM THE BUREAU; HOWEVER, I DO NOT RECALL THEIR NAMES.

WE MAY HAVE REPORTED TO THEM OR TO MR. DE LOACH. ACTUALLY, THERE WAS NO DAY-TO-DAY REPORTING OF WHAT I HAD DONE AND WHEN

NK

PAGE FIFTEEN

THE CONVENTION WAS OVER, ALL THE EXPOSED FILM WAS TURNED OVER TO MR. DE LOACH AND HIS ASSISTANTS TO BE TAKEN TO THE BUREAU.

(5) THE ONLY INFORMATION I EVER GAVE WOULD HAVE BEEN ORALLY AND I NEVER WROTE ANY MEMORANDA OF ANY TYPE.

(6) AS I RECALL, MY ASSIGNMENT WAS TO PHOTOGRAPH ACTIVITIES MAINLY IN THE AREA OF CONVENTION HALL AND THE BOARDWALK. IT WAS MY IMPRESSION THAT IN THE EVENT ANY OF THESE GROUPS, SUCH AS SNCC OR CORE, HAD ANY DEMONSTRATIONS OR IF ANY VIOLENCE OCCURRED THAT PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SITUATION WOULD BE OBTAINED AND COULD BE USED LATER TO IDENTIFY THE PEOPLE INVOLVED.

(7) I HAVE NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FINAL DESTINATION OF ANY OF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED BUT I RECALL THAT ONE OF THE PRESIDENT'S AIDES, WHOSE NAME I BELIEVE WAS WALTER JENKINS, WAS EITHER AT CONVENTION HALL OR AT SOME OTHER OFFICE IN THE CITY. I BELIEVE THAT MR. DE LOACH CONTACTED JENKINS WITH INFORMATION HE MIGHT HAVE RECEIVED.

(8) IT IS MY OPINION THAT OUR PURPOSE WAS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION REGARDING THE POSSIBLE ACTIONS OF THE SNCC OR CORE GROUPS SO THAT APPROPRIATE PEOPLE COULD BE FOREWARNED OF ANY

PK

PAGE SIXTEEN

POSSIBLE DEMONSTRATIONS OR VIOLENCE. ALL OF THIS WOULD BE WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE BUREAU.

(9) I RECALL NO SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE ASSIGNMENT; HOWEVER, IT WAS TREATED IN THE NORMAL CONFIDENTIAL MANNER AS ALL BUREAU WORK.

(10) I NEVER DISCUSSED THIS ASSIGNMENT WITH ANYONE OUTSIDE THE BUREAU.

(11) I KNOW OF NO INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE COVERED BY TESURS.

(12) AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER INDICATED THAT DE LOACH HAD A DIRECT LINE TO THE WHITE HOUSE. I AM AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THEY DID HAVE A DIRECT LINE TO THE BUREAU; HOWEVER, I KNOW OF NO DIRECT LINE TO THE WHITE HOUSE AND NEVER HEARD THIS DISCUSSED.

THIS CONCLUDES RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS OF PERTINENT NEWARK PERSONNEL REQUESTED IN REBUTEL.

END

TJT FB I WASH DC CLR

NR002 W CODE

2:30 PM IMMEDIATE 1-26-75 LNG

TO BIRMINGHAM

BUFFALO

KANSAS CITY

MEMPHIS

NEWARK

OMAHA

PHILADELPHIA

LAS VEGAS

FROM DIRECTOR

PERSONAL ATTENTION

ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

IN CONNECTION WITH THE ONE NINE SIX FOUR DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION AT ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY, AUGUST TWO TWO THROUGH TWO EIGHT, ONE NINE SIX FOUR, INFORMATION IN BUREAU FILES DISCLOSES THAT THE FOLLOWING AGENTS PARTICIPATED IN THE COVERAGE WHICH THE BUREAU HAD WITH REGARD TO THIS CONVENTION: BIRMINGHAM OFFICE - SA JAMES S. SNIDER; BUFFALO - SA WILLIAM P. CRAWFORD; KANSAS CITY - SAC BILL D. WILLIAMS; MEMPHIS - SA BEN D. HALE; OMAHA - SA ROBERT L. TAGG; PHILADELPHIA - SA JOHN B. MEADE; NEWARK - SAS THOMAS G. BUTTLE, HARRY D. JONES, LLOYD A. NELSON, JOHN J. CONNOLLY, DONALD E. ALMAN, GUS M. MOORE

66-3963-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 26 1975	
FBI - NEWARK	

*Copy sent
Pms*

PAGE TWO

DALE R. SUTTON AM L. DWYER. LAS VEGAS - WALTER J.

SLAUGHTER: YOU INSTRUCTED TO RESPOND AS FACTUALLY AND DETAILED
AS POSSIBLE CONCERNING YOUR PARTICIPATION UNDER THE DIRECTION OF
FORMER ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CHARTHA D. DE LOACH:

1. WHO INSTRUCTED YOU TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS ASSIGNMENT?
2. WHAT INSTRUCTIONS DID YOU RECEIVE RELATIVE TO YOUR ASSIGNMENT?
3. WHAT WAS YOUR ASSIGNMENT?
4. WHAT WAS THE CHAIN OF COMMAND AND ADVISE TO WHOM YOU REPORTED?
5. IN FURNISHING RESULTS OF INFORMATION YOU RECEIVED WAS IT HANDLED ORALLY OR IN WRITING? IF IN WRITING, WAS IT IN THE FORM OF ROUGH DRAFT NOTES OR WAS IT A COMMUNICATION THAT PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN FILED IN EITHER BUREAU OR NEWARK FILES?
6. IN HANDLING THIS ASSIGNMENT ADVISE WHAT YOU WERE TOLD AS TO ITS PURPOSE AND WHO SO INFORMED YOU.
7. WAS ANY INFORMATION RECEIVED AT ANY TIME BY YOU INDICATING THAT THE BUREAU'S SERVICES WERE BEING UTILIZED FOR POLITICAL REASONS?

PAGE THREE

8. ON THE OTHER HAND, ADVISE IN DETAIL IF IN THE INSTRUCTIONS YOU RECEIVED IT WAS CLEAR THAT IT WAS A MATTER FALLING WITHIN THE BUREAU'S JURISDICTION CONCERNING INVESTIGATIONS OF TERRORISM, CRIMINALS, OR ANY OTHER UNLAWFUL ACTS FALLING WITHIN THE BUREAU'S JURISDICTION.

9. WERE ANY INSTRUCTIONS ISSUED TO YOU AS TO THE CONFIDENTIALITY TO BE AFFORDED YOUR ASSIGNMENT IN THIS REGARD?

10. DID YOU EVER DISCUSS THIS ASSIGNMENT WITH ANYONE OUTSIDE THE BUREAU OR HAVE ATTEMPTS BEEN MADE TO INTERVIEW YOU BY ANY OUTSIDE INDIVIDUALS CONCERNING THIS MATTER? THIS WOULD INCLUDE ANYONE FORMERLY EMPLOYED BY THE FBI.

11. BASED ON YOUR RECOLLECTION, WHAT INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS WERE COVERED BY TESURS?

12. FURNISH ANY OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION THAT MAY NOT BE SPECIFICALLY COVERED BY THE QUESTIONS ABOVE.

YOUR RESPONSES MUST BE HANDLED IMMEDIATELY (TODAY, JANUARY TWENTY-SIX, WITHOUT FAIL) AND INCORPORATED IN AN ENCODED TELETYPE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE DIRECTOR, ATTENTION INSPECTION DIVISION.

END

The

Washington Post

SUNDAY, JANUARY 26, 1975

FBI Tapped King at 1964 Convention

By David Hunter
Washington Post Staff Writer

President Johnson during the 1964 Democratic National Convention received reports on the conversations or activities of senators and congressmen, on then Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy, and on key convention delegates from wiretaps and bugs installed by the FBI on civil rights leaders, a Senate Watergate committee

The report, summarizing a 1970 interview with the FBI, says that in 1964 the FBI's Atlanta City, Ga., office was given a report that information was reported to Johnson from bugs and wiretaps installed in the hotel suite of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. that is a stronghold of civil rights groups. King's suite was a key point of interest during the Atlanta

The Atlanta Convention Center, Clark, a former vice president of the FBI, said the bugs and physical surveillance were carried out by a special FBI team ordered by the Johnson White House.

The team, he said, was led by the regional director of Dallas D. DeLoach, assistant to then FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover.

The stated purpose of the operation, Clark said, was to gather intelligence on possible violence or disruption.

But Clark, who returned to the FBI during a long career in the intelligence activities, said he did not want to work for spying the operation with the Secret Service, which has responsibility for protecting the president, or with the FBI's Newark office, which would usually coordinate security at the convention.

Clark said that most of the information obtained was furnished to President Johnson over a telephone line that was installed to bypass the

Clark said the information included Kennedy's activities, identities of senators and congressmen visiting King's hotel suite, identities of persons seeking support of civil rights leaders, plans of delegates or delegates, and speculation on vice presidential nominees.

At one point, Clark said, he told DeLoach of the Attorney General had authorized the taps and bugs. He said DeLoach replied that Hoover had not been informed of them but he said DeLoach in Atlanta Johnson was aware of them.

At the time, he said, by the FBI was illegal, and intercepting this and divulgence of this kind of communications by the FBI was a criminal offense.

The authenticity of the Clark memo, which cites more than 100 names of people and the names of funds for part of the operation, was verified by a number of persons who at that time had it or were present when Clark was interviewed.

The memo includes Clark's response to a subpoena for it that was issued in

Source: AP Wire

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

66-3963-2

Hof
ppm

66-

FBI Tapped King's

FBI, From A1

Room in 1964

for each. It indicates that he reviewed the summary of his interview before it was typed in memo form.

Clark, who retired from the FBI in 1953 and later headed his own private investigation firm, was traveling by car on a vacation and could not be reached for comment.

Asked why the memo had not come out in Senate Watergate committee hearings, a committee source said previous presidential campaigns were not considered to come within the committee's legislative mandate of campaign activities in 1972.

DeLoach, informed through a secretary of the nature of a reporter's inquiry, did not return telephone calls.

DeLoach denied under oath to a 1961 Senate Watergate committee interview that he had a direct line to Johnson or spoke with him during the convention.

A summary of his interview shows DeLoach said one surveillance was conducted as part of a continuing investigation on a person believed to be under the influence of the Communist Party.

The continuing surveillance had been approved by Kennedy, although DeLoach did not remember seeing any written authorization, he said. Johnson was not aware of this surveillance, he added.

The FBI's activity at the convention was for the purpose of keeping abreast of "any political violence," DeLoach said. If any political intelligence was reported to the White House, he added, it was only incidental to reports on possible violence.

DeLoach said he might have instructed agents to work undercover in Democratic functions. But he denied telling agents the operation was secret.

Another participant in the operation said he could not deny that the purpose of the operation was political. He said each agent might have a different view of how much of the intelligence gathered was political and how much related to security.



CASPER D. DELOACH and EDGAR HOOVER
... head of 68 special team and FBI director

"It's probably a matter of perspective, and different people would come out with different percentages," he said.

The participant said he did not want to discuss details of the operation because of the certainty that he would be required to testify during investigations that would ensue once the operation was publicly disclosed.

Although not a participant, another FBI source said he was told by an agent who participated that prevention of violence was the operation's cover.

"The purpose was to serve President Johnson's political interests," he said. "They picked up any information that concerned Kennedy, and what he was up to and what he was trying to line up support for," the source said.

Asked for comment, FBI spokesman James Murphy did not address himself to the question of whether the purpose of the operation was political or whether it included infiltration of meetings and

Instead, he said: "As a result of a request from the White House, the FBI did coordinate the development of intelligence information concerning the plans of subversive, criminal, and hostile groups attempting to disrupt the Democratic National Convention at Atlantic City, N.J., in 1964."

The information was gathered by FBI informants and sources and was subsequently furnished to the White House and its representatives in Atlantic City on a continuing basis, he said. Pertinent data were also given to the Secret Service and police authorities, he said.

"In addition to the coverage afforded the 1964 Democratic convention, the FBI afforded another coverage to both the Democratic and Republican conventions in subsequent Presidential election years 1968 and 1972," Murphy said.

3-2

Although President Johnson in 1964 was assured of nomination for his first full term as President he was worried about a possible movement to draft Robert Kennedy to be his running-mate and about a challenge by a primarily black delegation to the white, Mississippi delegation.

A conflict could have marred Democratic unity or provoked picketing by civil rights activists. Although the civil rights movement at the time largely adhered to King's doctrine of non-violence, such demonstrations could have been politically embarrassing.

As it turned out, the conflicts were resolved quietly and cynically at the time remarked on the perfect control Johnson appeared to have over convention activities from the White House.

The interesting question is why he had such complete control," columnist Walter Lippman wrote at the conclusion of the convention in late August.

"Quite evidently," Lippman wrote of Johnson, "he is a great politician, but what is the secret of his greatness as a politician?"

In early August, Clark said, he began to work with the Secret Service and local police to insure that the convention later that month would be peaceful.

Three days before the convention opened on Aug. 24, he was told by DeLoach in a telephone call that the White House wanted special assistance, Clark said.

This assistance would be independent of the Secret Service and should not be disclosed with it or otherwise published, Clark quoted DeLoach as saying.

"Trouble was expected from the Mississippi and Alabama delegations," he said.

"One of the objectives of the FBI would be to infiltrate dissident factions and keep Walter Jenkins advised at Atlantic City, the interest being to preclude disorders," Clark said.

An FBI agent was later assigned to accompany Jenkins, White House aide, with a walkie-talkie, Clark added.

In a recent telephone interview, Jenkins, who was successively assistant to Johnson, said he had no knowledge of any FBI surveillance activities at the convention and could not recall having any contact with the FBI.

...the convention, W. Marvin Watson, did not return telephone calls last week after one of his assistants was informed of the nature of the inquiry.

Clark said he was told by DeLoach to set up a control center where informants could report. Individuals of particular interest included civil rights activists such as Fannie Lou Hamer, Clark said, he was told from Washington.

Abr. Hamer said recently from Ruleville, Miss., that she was not aware she was being watched during the convention.

But she said she and other Freedom Democratic Party members of the Mississippi that was challenging the white delegation felt pressure from many aides to back down on their demands.

"People were saying things, and then chickening out, and we didn't know why," she said.

Clark said he established a control center on the second floor of the old Post Office building. The first floor was occupied by the FBI resident agent for Atlantic City, he said.

He established a special telephone number in DeLoach's control center office to take calls from informants, he said.

On instructions from DeLoach, Clark said, he determined where King and James I. Farmer, then national director of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) would be staying.

Clark said he was also told to check the whereabouts of other civil rights leaders with surveillances in mind.

He said he determined that King would stay at the Clarks Hotel.

Clark said he instructed Hotel DeLoach in Atlantic City to survey the building to determine the feasibility of installing a wiretap and microphone (bug) surveillance, he said.

Clark arranged with the hotel management to have King stay in Rooms 1901, 1902, and 1923, he said. The hotel management gave keys to the rooms to two FBI technical men from Newark, and they surveyed the rooms, he said.

Clark said he reserved Room 1921, a floor below King's suite, for himself. After DeLoach and other FBI officials arrived from Washington on Aug. 23, Clark said, the room was used to monitor conversations in King's rooms as well as those beamed from a tap and bug installed at 2414 Atlantic Ave., a storefront used by CORE and other groups.

Clark did not say whether a break-in was necessary to install the microphone surveillance at the Atlantic Avenue address.

Surveillances from the storefront were monitored by agents John J. Cramer and Billy D. Williams, he said. Those from King's rooms were listened to by agents John P. Devlin and John J. Connelly, he added.

The FBI declined to allow a reporter to talk with these or other agents named by Clark.

Conversations were tape recorded and telephoned to DeLoach and agents Harold P. (Bud) Leibaugh, and Donald G. Hanning in the control center, Clark said.

They, in turn, dictated memos based on the information to stenographers, he said.

Leibaugh and Hanning, both retired, declined to comment.

Information that related to Secret Service or police responsibilities were relayed to the appropriate agency by Clark, he said.

Clark recalls overhearing DeLoach speaking on the telephone to President Johnson and to Director Hoover, giving them summary information from the technical surveillance, the Watergate committee memo says.

"In a DeLoach conversation with the President, Clark heard mention of discussions concerning the seating of delegates or delegations, of vice presidential candidate possibilities, and the identities of congressmen and senators going in and out of King's quarters," the memo says.

Robert Kennedy's activities were of special interest, including his contacts with King. There was particular interest in learning who was seeking the support of the black leaders and the maneuvering of the black factions with regard to the seating of the Mississippi delegations, the memo says.

In addition to bugs, wire taps, and undercover informants, the FBI monitored the two-way radio communications of groups using the Atlantic Avenue storefront and solicited intelligence through agents posing as reporters, Clark said.

ASAC Clough

NR013 NK CODED

6:00PM URGENT 1/23/75 DJP

TO DIRECTOR

FROM NEWARK

(ATTENTION: EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION)

INQUIRY BY WASHINGTON POST REPORTER RON KESSLER REGARDING POSSIBLE ELSUR, ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY, 1964.

AT 5:05 PM TODAY, FORMER SPECIAL AGENT ALMA A. RICHARDSON, CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AS DIRECTOR OF SECURITY, J. C. PENNY COMPANY, NEW YORK CITY, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED ASAC WILLIAM L. BAILEY AND ADVISED THAT HE HAD JUST BEEN CALLED BY MR. KESSLER. MR. KESSLER REFERRED TO A STORY THAT IS "CURRENTLY BREAKING" IN WHICH THE FBI, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF CARTHA D. DE LOACH, PLACED TECHNICAL COVERAGE ON CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS AT ATLANTIC CITY, N.J., DURING THE DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION IN 1964.

KESSLER SPECIFICALLY REFERRED TO COVERAGE OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING. KESSLER INDICATED THAT HE KNEW RICHARDSON WAS AT THE TIME THE CONTACT MAN WITH THE TELEPHONE COMPANY IN ATLANTIC CITY AND THAT THE SPECIAL AGENT ON DUTY IN ATLANTIC CITY WHO RICHARDSON WORKED WITH WAS LEO T. CLARK. CLARK

Handwritten signature/initials

SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED *sp* FILED *sp*

HAC
[Signature]

66-3963-1

PAGE TWO

RETIRED FROM THE BUREAU APPROXIMATELY TEN YEARS AGO AND IS NOW A PRACTICING ATTORNEY IN ATLANTIC CITY AND IS BELIEVED TO BE INVOLVED IN REPUBLICAN POLITICS.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, RICHARDSON ADVISED THAT FOLLOWING HIS RESIGNATION FROM THE BUREAU, HE DID WORK FOR THE TELEPHONE COMPANY AND WAS IN ATLANTIC CITY, N.J., DURING 1964 AND SERVED AS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTACT.

RICHARDSON ADVISED THAT HE TOLD KESSLER "I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE CONCERNING THIS MATTER".

RICHARDSON ADVISED THAT HE MERELY WANTED TO BRING THIS MATTER TO THE ATTENTION OF THE BUREAU AND SHOULD HE RECEIVE ANY ADDITIONAL CALLS, HE WOULD NOTIFY THIS OFFICE.

EN